



which they represent that they had understood that the claims would be governed by Massachusetts law because the defendants moved to the United States the same calendar year as the abuse occurred in India. The plaintiffs state that they are "withdrawing out" the claim against the parents but that they "need more time to consult lawyers in India to have better understanding about India laws, Jurisdiction and Statue of limitations [sic]." Show Cause Resp., ¶¶ 1-2. They request that, if the Court "still must dismiss the case, please dismiss it without prejudice so we can refile the case after further research." Id. ¶ 3.

The defendants' relocation to the United States in the same calendar year in which the alleged misconduct occurred in India does not alter the Court's choice-of-law analysis. The plaintiffs' show cause response does not provide any justification for keeping this case open for an undetermined amount of time while the plaintiffs obtain legal advice. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), this case is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ William G. Young  
WILLIAM G. YOUNG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE