Case 1:17-cv-11520-NMG Document 39 Filed 01/16/18 Page 1 of 3

Dr Rajani Kanth					
Plaintiff Pro Se					
P. O. Box 712513					
Salt Lake City, UT 84	171				
Tel: 8017065095	-				
				2016	_=
	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT	STRICT OF	JAN 16 P	CLERKS (
	for the		COU	PH 4:	OFFICE
	District of Massachus	etts	SO 20		- CE
Plaintiff)	CASE#: 1: 17- cv-115	20-MBBVW	15	
Dr. Rajani Kanth	MOTION TO CORRECT THE JOINT STATEMENT				
)				
(Pro Se)					
A)				
Vs)				
)				
Defendant					
Harvard University)				

Plaintiff (Pro Se) Dr Rajani Kanth, respectfully, brings forward this Motion to Correct the Joint Statement submitted to Court, by Defendant, owing to discovery of a hitherto unknown implication.

FACTS

- Defendant, recently, submitted a Joint Statement, as required, including preferences of Plaintiff.
- 2. In that document, Plaintiff is represented as consenting to a Magistrate Judge.

Because plaintiff-moving party is mistaken in his understanding that a reference of the case to a magistrate judge for trial waises his right to a jury trial, see 28 U.S.C. \$ 636 (9)(1), motion denied. SIM forton, USDJ 1/26/18

ARGUMENT

- 1. Defendant accurately indicated that Plaintiff was in favor of a Magistrate Judge.
- 2. However, at the Scheduling Conference on January 9, 2018, Plaintiff learnt, by virtue of a comment by the Hon'ble Judge, that such an appointment may preclude the possibility of a Jury Trial: which he is anxious to retain.
- 3. If true, Plaintiff wishes to withdraw that 'preference', as spelt out in the extant Joint Statement (Part IV).
- 4. Plaintiff was under the impression that a Magistrate Judge, if chosen, simply presides over a Jury Trial, rather than a Senior Judge, thereby making the process a bit more expeditious (unaware that such a substitution obviates a Jury Trial).
- 5. Plaintiff renders an apology to Court and to the Defendant for his mistaken supposition (if correct).
- 6. <u>In sum. Plaintiff wishes to have a Jury Trial</u>, and if the appointment of a Magistrate Judge preempts that possibility, then he would wish to withdraw that consent.

CONCLUSION

As per the arguments proffered above, Plaintiff, deferentially, seeks grace of Court to withdraw Plaintiff's consent to a Magistrate Judge, *if appropriate*, as expressed in the Joint Statement submitted by Defendant, thereby 'correcting' it, in that one particular item.

Respectfully,

Dr Rajani Kanth

2 deals

(Plaintiff / Pro se)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This is to attest that a True Copy of this *Motion to Correct* was placed in the US mails, postage-paid first class, on January 6 2018, addressed to: Gabriel Gladstone, Esq., 200 State Street, Boston, MA 02109-2605.

Dr Rajani Kanth

Plaintiff Pro Se