ED for the Masonia met forth in the courts mamo of 3/14/14, DKt. 96. The clark

Case 3:13-cv-30127-MAP Document 99 Filed 03/20/14 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JACK M. LITOWSKY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
ASCO POWER TECHNOLOGIES, L.P.,) No. 3:13-cv-30127-MAP
AMETEK, INC.,)
GUSTAVO PRESTON COMPANY, INC.,)
ADAMS PLUMBING & HEATING, INC.,)
DOUGLAS WILBER D E WILBER,)
ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR, LLC and)
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL SCHOOL)
DISTRICT,)
)
Defendants.)
)

AMETEK, INC.'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

The defendant, Ametek, Inc. ("Ametek"), pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(c) moves this

Court to enter judgment on the pleadings in favor of Ametek as to all Counts plead against it in

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. As grounds therefore, Ametek states that the allegations
in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint fail to demonstrate either that Ametek owed a duty to
the Plaintiff or that Ametek proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries which is fatal to his
negligence and breach of warranty causes of action and therefore judgment must enter in favor of
Ametek on Counts IV and VI. Further, the Commonwealth does not recognize a "strict liability"
cause of action and thus Count V fails as a matter of law. Furthermore, Ametek incorporates by
reference its memorandum in support of this Motion filed concurrently herewith.

WHEREFORE, Ametek requests that the Court enter judgment in Ametek's favor as to all Counts plead against it in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.