Manes v. Cristello et al Doc. 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MANES PIERRE,

ν.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-30105-KAR

MICHELLE A. CRISTELLO, RENEE KHAN, HON. EDWARD DONNELLY JR., and HON. THERESA J. BISENIUS

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

ROBERTSON, M.J.

For the reasons stated below, the Court will allow the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and recommend that the action be dismissed.

I. Background

Pro se plaintiff Manes Pierre filed a self-prepared complaint against two state court judges, the Commissioner of the Department of Revenue and a Massachusetts Department of Revenue Counsel. Plaintiff claims that defendants deprived plaintiff of his constitutional rights, apparently in two proceedings in the Massachusetts state courts relating to his child support obligations and divorce. Plaintiff alleges that the Courts and agencies of the Commonwealth are motivated "by the need to increase the court revenue by denying plaintiff access to justice" and that plaintiff was "deprived of his constitutional rights for the monetary benefit of the defendants." Citing to a 2013 Boston Globe article about judicial pay raises generally, plaintiff alleges that the Commonwealth's District and Probate Court judges are somehow corrupt and interested in profiting off of persons such as plaintiff.

In particular, plaintiff references two state civil actions in which he was or is a party, styled <u>Department of Revenue et al. v. Pierre</u>, MI 16-W1091-WD (the "Paternity Action") and <u>Pierre v.</u>