#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

#### FEDERAL COURT

STEPHANIE HOFER ) and )	
DOUGLAS HOFER,	
Plaintiffs )	COMPLAINT
v. )	05-40170
OLD NAVY, EXPEDIA, INC., and ) TURTLE BEACH TOWERS, )	05 40170
Defendants. )	

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This is a civil action arising from the negligence of the Defendants. Plaintiff, Stephanie Hofer ("Stephanie"), was injured on Defendant Turtle Beach Tower's ("the Resort") premises on March 18, 2004. As a result of the Resort's negligence in failing to maintain and/or keep its premises in a reasonably safe condition, negligence in failing to warn of a dangerous condition on the premises, and negligence in failing to maintain a safe premises, Stephanie sustained injuries when she slipped and fell into a turtle pond containing razor sharp coral and slate.

At the time of the incident, Stephanie was wearing newly purchased footwear from Defendant Old Navy. The sandals, which had never before been worn, broke causing Stephanie to lose balance.

As a result of Stephanie's multiple injuries, Plaintiff, Douglas Hofer ("Douglas RECEIPT # 404

has suffered the loss of companionship of his wife, Stephanie.

AMOUNT \$ 200.00
SUMMONS ISSUED LOCAL RULE 4.1
WAIVER FORM

MCF ISSUED\_

NATE 9-27-00

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, Stephanie Hofer, is an individual residing in Leominster, Worcester County, MA.
- Plaintiff, Douglas Hofer, is an individual residing in Leominster, Worcester
   County, MA and is married to Stephanie Hofer.
- 3. Defendant, Turtle Beach Towers, is a lodging establishment located in Jamaica.
- 4. Defendant, Expedia, Inc., is a corporation with headquarters in Washington and conducts business throughout the United States; it is a wholly owned subsidiary of IAC/InterActive Corp.
- 5. Defendant, Old Navy, is a corporation that conducts business throughout the United States; it is wholly owned by The Gap Stores, Inc., which is organized under the laws of California.

#### **JURISDICTION**

6. Federal Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the long arm statute.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. On March 15, 2004, Stephanie and a friend reserved a travel vacation to Jamaica through Expedia.com ("Expedia"). Expedia is an agent for Turtle Beach Towers in that it advertises the Resort in its airfare/lodging vacation packages over the Internet.
- 8. The Resort does not have its own Internet website and all access to its information on the Internet is via hypertext links, such as the one provided through Expedia.
- 9. Upon arrival in Jamaica on March 18, 2004, Stephanie and her companion took a shuttle to the Resort and obtained their room assignment. Stephanie later went to

- the Resort's Lobby to obtain tourist information to plan an agenda for the next day.
- 10. Stephanie had never previously stayed at the Resort before.
- 11. The only way to access the Resort's lobby was by a series of steps. The stairway was very dimly lit and did not contain guardrails.
- 12. Located on each side of this stairway were turtle ponds, which contained several inches of water, turtles, coral, and slate.
- 13. While walking down the stairway, on her return from the lobby, Stephanie's footwear, newly purchased Old Navy sandals, became unhinged from the front. The unhinging of the sandal caused Stephanie to lose her balance while maneuvering down the stairway. Since there were no rails on the stairway for Stephanie to use to balance herself, Stephanie fell approximately four feet into one of the turtle ponds.
- 14. Stephanie's left leg landed directly onto the coral and slate protruding from the turtle pond and was consequently gouged open down to the bone. The resulting injuries included severe lacerations to her left shin, ankle, and foot, severing of a major artery in her left leg, tearing of muscles and tendons in her left leg, and permanent damage to the nerves in her left leg and foot.
- 15. Stephanie was treated initially in Jamaica before being air lifted to Massachusetts

  General Hospital where she remained as an in-patient for fifteen (15) days.
- 16. Since the incident, Stephanie has undergone three surgeries.
- 17. Today, more than one year after the accident, Stephanie takes twenty-seven medications daily and continues to treat regularly with surgeons, infectious

- disease associates, her attending physician, neurology, psychology, pain management, and physical therapists.
- Despite her surgeries and aggressive treatment regiment, Stephanie's left leg functions only ten percent of its capacity and requires constant bandaging.
  Stephanie also bears large permanent scars from the incident and has irreparable nerve damage in her left leg.
- 19. In the future, Stephanie will require reconstructive and plastic surgery in her left leg.
- 20. Stephanie, who worked as a dental hygienist and a part-time manicurist earning an annual salary of \$28,000, has not been able to return to work for the past seventeen months as a result of her injuries.
- 21. Stephanie's condition and medications have made it impossible for her to work.
- 22. In addition, Stephanie can no longer enjoy the normal daily activities that she once did which included, but are not limited to, exercising, walking the dog, and going to the movies.
- 23. Furthermore, Stephanie and her husband, Plaintiff, Douglas' plans to expand their family by having children have been rendered impossible as a result of Stephanie's injuries. Stephanie's doctors have advised her against becoming pregnant while she continues her medications; Stephanie will have to continue taking medications and undergoing spinal treatments long term.
- 24. Due to her injuries, Stephanie has also been diagnosed with multiple mental illnesses including Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, anxiety, severe depression, insomnia, and restless leg syndrome as a result of her multiple injuries.

25. Stephanie's medications, inability to exercise and function in daily activities, inability to expand her family, inability to work, and the resulting depression, have also caused Stephanie to gain weight: Stephanie has gained seventy-five (75) pounds in one year.

## **COUNT I-NEGLIGENT MAINTENANCE TURTLE BEACH TOWERS**

- 26. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-25 as if set forth fully herein.
- 27. The Resort owed a duty to Stephanie as an invitee to observe due care in keeping its premises in a reasonably safe condition.
- 28. The Resort breached its duty to Stephanie by failing to install handrails on both sides of the stairway from which Stephanie fell, thereby not using due care in keeping the stairway in a reasonably safe condition.
- 29. The lack of handrails was a proximate cause in Stephanie's injuries because she could not catch herself as she fell from the stairway.
- 30. Stephanie's numerous injuries were a proximate cause of the Resort's breach of duty to her.

## COUNT II-NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN **TURTLE BEACH TOWERS**

- 31. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-30 as if set forth fully herein.
- 32. The Resort owed a duty to Stephanie as an invitee to warn her of any dangers known to it.

- 33. The Resort knew or should have known that the lack of handrails on the stairway caused a dangerous condition.
- 34. The Resort knew or should have known that the coral and slate contained in the turtle ponds also caused a dangerous condition particularly with the lack of handrails on the stairway.
- 35. The Resort breached its duty to Stephanie by failing to warn her of the dangerous conditions of the stairway and of the coral and slate contained in the coral ponds.
- 36. Stephanie suffered numerous injuries as a proximate result of the Resort's failure to warn her.

## COUNT III-NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS TURTLE BEACH TOWERS

- 37. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-36 as if set forth fully herein.
- 38. The Resort was negligent in failing to install guardrails on each side of the stairway, failing to provide adequate lighting for the stairway, and maintaining sharp coral and slate on both sides of the stairway.
- 39. As a result of the Resort's negligence, Stephanie suffered multiple injuries.
- 40. Stephanie has also suffered from depression, anxiety and insomnia as a result of the Resort's negligence.
- 41. A reasonable person would have suffered emotional distress under similar circumstances.

## COUNT IV-LOSS OF CONSORTIUM **TURTLE BEACH TOWERS**

- Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-41 as if set forth fully 42. herein.
- Turtle Beach Towers has committed a tortuous act that caused Douglas' wife, 43. Stephanie, personal injury.
- As a result of her multiple injuries, Douglas has suffered the loss of consortium of 44. his wife.

### **COUNT V-NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN EXPEDIA**

- 45. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-44 as if set forth fully herein.
- In conducting much of the Resort's Internet advertising and booking of 46. reservations, Expedia is an agent for the Resort.
- As an agent for the Resort, Expedia owed Stephanie a duty to warn her of 47. dangerous conditions at the Resort.
- 48. Expedia knew or should have known of the conditions at the Resort.
- 49. Expedia breached its duty to Stephanie in failing to warn her of the stairway's dangerous condition.
- 50. Stephanie's numerous injuries were a direct result of Expedia's failure to warn her of the stairway's dangerous condition.

## **COUNT VI-PRODUCTS LIABILITY OLD NAVY**

51. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-50 as if set forth fully herein.

design.

- 52. The sandal manufactured by Old Navy was defective by manufacture and/or by
- 53. The sandal was defective when it left Old Navy's control.
- 54. Stephanie purchased the sandal in the ordinary course of business.
- 55. Stephanie's multiple injuries were proximately caused by the defective sandal.

# COUNT VII-BREACH OF WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OLD NAVY

- 56. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the contents of paragraphs 1-55 as if set forth fully herein.
- 57. Old Navy owed Stephanie an implied warranty of merchantability when she purchased the sandal.
- 58. Risks due to the sandal's defectiveness were reasonably foreseeable to Old Navy at the time of sale and/or discoverable by reasonable testing prior to marketing the sandal.
- 59. Old Navy breached its warranty to Stephanie by selling her defective footwear.
- 60. Stephanie's numerous injuries were proximately caused by Old Navy's breach of warranty of merchantability.

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiff,

By her attorney,

India L. Minchoff, Esq. (652456) Law Offices of Russo & Minchoff

123 Boston Street, 1st Floor

Boston, MA 02125

617/740-7340 telephone

617/740-7310 facsimile

Document 5 Filed 29/27/2005 Page 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS.44 (Rev. 3/99)

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE

DEFENDANTS I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Hofer, Stephanie A. and Hofer, Douglas Old Navy, Expedia, Inc., and Turtle Beach Towers Worcester (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Law Offices of Russo + Minchoff
Attorney India L. Minchoff
123 Boston St Attorneys (If Known) Boston, MA 02125 (617)740-7340 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) Incorporated or Principal Place Citizen of This State 1 🔲 1 3 Federal Question 1 U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State Plaintiff Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal 2 U.S. Government Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties of Business In Another State Defendant in Item III) ☐ 6 ☐ 6 Citizen or Subject of a 3 🔀 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Country (Place an "X" in One Box Only) IV. NATURE OF SUIT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 400 State Reapportionment PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture 110 Insurance 410 Antitrust
430 Banks and Banking
450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 120 Marine 362 Personal Injury-620 Other Food & Drug 310 Airplane ☐ 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Seizure 423 Withdrawal Liability 28 USC 157 140 Negotiable Instrument 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 460 Deportation 320 Assault, Libel & 630 Liquor Laws 150 Recovery of Overpayment Product Liability & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act PROPERTY RIGHTS 368 Asbestos Personal 640 R.R. & Truck 470 Racketeer Influenced and Slander 330 Federal Employers Corrupt Organizations Injury Product 650 Airline Regs. 820 Copyrights Liability 310 Selective Service 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 660 Occupational 830 Patent 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT Safety/Health 850 Securities/Commodities/ Student Loans 840 Trademark 690 Other (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayme Liability SOCIAL SECURITY LABOR 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Persona 12 USC 3410 of Veteran's Benefits 891 Agricultural Acts
892 Economic Stabilization Act
893 Environmental Matters Property Damage ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle 710 Fair Labor Standards 861 HIA (1395ff) Product Liability 385 Property Damage ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 862 Black Lung (923) Act 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relati 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g) 894 Energy Allocation Act 864 SSID Title XVI PROPERTY RIGHTS PRISONER itions REAL CIVIL 895 Freedom of 865 RSI (405(g)) 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting Information Act 210 Land Condemnation 510 Motions to Vacate 900 Appeal of Fee Determination 441 Voting & Disclosure Act FEDERAL TAX SUITS 220 Foreclosure 442 Employment Sentence 740 Railway Labor Act Under Equal Access to Habeas Corpus: 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Justice 790 Other Labor Litigation Accommodations 530 General 240 Torts to Land or Defendant) 950 Constitutionality of 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty State Statutes 440 Other Civil Rights 540 Mandamus & Other 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 290 All Other Real Property 871 IRS—Third Party 890 Other Statutory Actions 550 Civil Rights Security Act 26 USC 7609 555 Prison Condition (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to District Judge from V. ORIGIN Transferred from Reinstated or Reopened 5 5 another district (specify) Magistrate Judgment 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 6 Multidistrict 7 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) resulting from the negligence of Defendants. Personal injury CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: X Yes **COMPLAINT:** Two million dollars VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET IF ANY **JUDGE** NUMBER DATE 9,23.05 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY AMOUNT APPLYING IFP RECEIPT # JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Case 4:05-cv-40170-FDS

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

•	TITLE O	F CASE (I	NAME OF FIRST PA	RTY ON EA	CH SIDE ONLY)	Hofe	r v. (	Old	Navy		
	CATEGO	ORY IN W	HICH THE CASE BE	LONGS BAS	ED UPON THE	NUMBERED	NATURE OF	SUIT C	ODE LISTED	ON THE	CIVIL COVER
	SHEET.	(SEE LC	CAL RULE 40.1(A)(	1)).							
		1.	160, 410, 470, R.	23, REGARI	LESS OF NAT	URE OF SUIT					
		II.	195, 368, 400, 4 790, 791, 820*, 8					* Also	complete A		AO 121 pyright cases
	<u>X</u>	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 380, 385, 450, 891.								
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 4 690, 810, 861-86			, 630, 640, 6	50, 660,				
		V.	150, 152, 153.								
	TITLE A	ND NUME	BER, IF ANY, OF RE	LATED CAS	ES. (SEE LOCA	AL RULE 40.1	(E)) <u>, }</u>	A			
	HAS A F	PRIOR AC	TION BETWEEN TH	E SAME PAI	RTIES AND BA	SED ON THE	SAME CLAIR	W EVER	BEEN FILED	IN THIS	COURT?
	DOES TI	HE COMP	LAINT IN THIS CAS	E QUESTION	THE CONSTIT		OF AN ACT		GRESS AFF	ECTING T	HE PUBLIC
	INTERF:	ST? (SE	E 28 USC 2403)			YES		NO	⋈		
		•	S.A. OR AN OFFICE	R, AGENT O	R EMPLOYEE		A PARTY?				
						YES		NO			
	IS THIS	CASE RE	QUIRED TO BE HEA	ARD AND DE	TERMINED BY	A DISTRICT (	COURT OF T	HREE J	JDGES PUR	SUANT TO	TITLE 28 USC
	2284?					YES		NO			
	DO <u>ALL</u>	PARTIES	IN THIS ACTION R	ESIDE IN TH	E CENTRAL DIV	/ISION OF TH	E DISTRICT	OF MAS		TS (WOR	ESTER
			LOCAL RULE 40.1(0			YE\$		NO	図		
	OR IN T	HE WEST	ERN DIVISION (BEF	RKSHIRE, FF	ANKLIN, HAMI	PDEN OR HA	MPSHIRE CO	OUNTIES	5)?(SEE LOC	AL RULE	40.1(D)).
	DO ALI	OE THE	PARTIES RESIDING	IN MASSAC	HUSETTS RES	YE\$	ENTRAL AN	NO D/OR WE	STERN DIV	ISIONS O	F THE DISTRIC
	<u></u>	•				YES		NO			
	(a)	IF YES	IN WHICH DIVISIO	N DOES THE	PLAINTIFF RE	SIDE?VV	omester	<u>r                                     </u>			
	IN WHIC	CH DIVISIO	ON DO THE ONLY P	ARTIES RES	SIDING IN MAS	SACHUSETTS	S RESIDE?_				
			ARTIES ARE THE U					SETTS, C	R ANY GOV	'ERNMEN	TAL AGENCY (
	CENTRA	AL DIVISI	ON; YES ON	, 🗆	OR	WESTERN D	IVISION;	YES		NO	
	ALTERN	NATIVE D	SPUTE RESOLUTIO	N - IS THIS	CASE SUITABL	E FOR ADR?	IF SO, BY V	WHICH A	DR?		
	EARLY	NEUTRAL	EVALUATION [		MEDIATION		SUMMA	RY JUR	Y/BENCH TR	NAL C	]
	MINI-TR	IIAL [	]		OTHER [	]					
ΕT	YPE OR	PRINT)				20					
NEY	'S NAMI	е <u> А</u> +	torneyn	<u>dia L.</u>	Minchol	i <b>T</b>					
SS_	123	Bost	on St.	Boston	, MA O	a125					
101	IE NO	(617)	740-7340	)							
orv i	Form.wr	pd - 3/28/	2000)								