USA v. Hegenauer Doc. 30

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff/Garnishor,	
v.	Case No. 98-10402
NANCY J. HEGENAUER	
Defendant,	
v.	
LC INDUSTRIES,	
Garnishee.	

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S "MOTION FOR WRIT OF EXECUTION"

On February 18, 2014, the court entered an amended default judgment in favor of Plaintiff United States of America and against Garnishee Defendant, LC industries, in the amount of \$14,003.11. Thereafter, in an effort to collect on the judgment, Plaintiff filed a "Motion for Writ of Execution" and attached a proposed writ of execution. (Dkt. # 28.) Garnishee Defendant has not responded. As of the date of filing the motion, April 25, 2014, the total amount of the unsatisfied judgment is \$13,008.46. (*Id.* at Pg. ID 71.)

Plaintiff filed its motion pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 64 and 69, Michigan Compiled Laws §§ 600.2920, 600.6002, and Michigan Court Rule 3.106. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 69(a)(1) provides:

A money judgment is enforced by a writ of execution, unless the court directs otherwise. The procedure on execution—and in proceedings supplementary to and in aid of judgment or execution—must accord with the procedure of the state where the court is located, but a federal statute governs to the

extent it applies.

Under Michigan law, a licensed court officer is authorized to seize a judgment debtor's property to satisfy a judgment. See M.C.R. 3.106. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's "Motion for Writ of Execution" is GRANTED. (Dkt. # 28.)

The writ of execution attached to Plaintiff's motion will be entered separately.

s/Robert H. Cleland
ROBERT H. CLELAND
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 24, 2014

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record on this date, June 24, 2014, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Lisa Wagner

Case Manager and Deputy Clerk (313) 234-5522