Eight Mile Style, LLC et al. v. Apple Computer Inc., et al. Case No. 2:07-CV-13164

EXHIBIT 22-C

Excerpts of transcript pages from the deposition of Cynthia Oliver taken on July 17, 2008

Page 2

FAX: 310.820.7933

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

SOUTHERN DIVISION

EIGHT MILE STYLE, LLC,

ET AL.,

PLAINTIFFS,

CASE NO.

VS.

APPLE COMPUTER, INC., AND

AFTERMATH RECORDS D/B/A

AFTERMATH ENTERTAINMENT,

DEFENDANTS.

30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF CYNTHIA OLIVER,
TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, AT
10250 CONSTELLATION BOULEVARD, 19TH
FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA,
COMMENCING AT 11:10 A.M., THURSDAY,
JULY 17, 2008, BEFORE GALE M. LUCAS,
RPR, RMR, CRR, CLR, CSR NUMBER 7899.

		Page 69
12:37:07	1	THE DEPONENT: I KNOW WHAT I WAS
12:37:09	2	TOLD BY MR. GARY AND MR. DOUGLAS.
12:37:09	3	BY MR. BUSCH:
12:37:13	4	Q. WERE YOU TOLD WAS THAT ISSUE OR
12:37:15	5	TOPIC ADDRESSED WITH THEM, THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER
12:37:17	6	WHICH AN ADVICE LETTER WOULD BE SENT OUT VERSUS A
12:37:18	7	REQUEST FOR A LICENSE?
12:37:23	8	A. I ASKED THEM IF THEY KNEW IF U.M.G.
12:37:27	9	HAD EVER REQUESTED MECHANICAL LICENSES, EVEN
12:37:30	10	THOUGH THE ARTIST MAY HAVE HAD A CONTROLLED
12:37:30	11	COMPOSITION CLAUSE.
12:37:32	12	Q. AND THE ANSWER WAS YES, THERE ARE
12:37:34	13	OCCASIONS WHERE THAT OCCURS?
12:37:38	14	A. THEY SAID YES, IT DOES OCCUR.
12:37:40	15	Q. NOW, MY QUESTION IS DIFFERENT.
12:37:40	16	MY QUESTION IS: DO YOU KNOW
12:37:46	17	WHETHER THE PRACTICE IS, WHERE A CONTROLLED
12:37:47	18	COMPOSITION CLAUSE EXISTS AND UNIVERSAL BELIEVES
12:37:51	19	THAT IT HAS THE RIGHT TO EXPLOIT A COMPOSITION BY
12:37:53	20	VIRTUE OF THAT CONTROLLED COMPOSITION CLAUSE,
12:37:56	21	WHETHER THE GENERAL PRACTICE IS TO SEND OUT AN
12:37:58	22	ADVICE LETTER RATHER THAN A REQUEST FOR A LICENSE?
12:38:01	23	MR. KLAUS: OBJECTION; VAGUE AND
12:38:01	24	AMBIGUOUS. IT ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.
	25	///

		Page 71
12:39:01	1	THE EXPLOITATION VERSUS THE OCCASIONS WHERE A
12:39:02	2	REQUEST FOR A LICENSE WOULD GO OUT?
12:39:07	3	A. I ASKED THEM IF THEY KNEW WHY
12:39:10	4	U.M.G. MIGHT ISSUE A MECHANICAL LICENSE, EVEN IF
12:39:11	5	THERE WAS
12:39:13	6	Q. YOU NEED TO ANSWER MY QUESTION
12:39:16	7	DIRECTLY, OR WE'RE GOING TO BE HERE ALL DAY,
12:39:17	8	MS. OLIVER.
12:39:19	9	MY QUESTION, FOR THE FOURTH TIME
12:39:23	10	NOW, IS: DID YOU DISCUSS WITH THEM, YES OR NO,
12:39:26	11	WHY THERE WOULD BE OCCASIONS WHERE AN ADVICE
12:39:31	12	LETTER MIGHT GO OUT TO A PUBLISHER WHO UNIVERSAL
12:39:33	13	BELIEVES IS CONTROLLED BY A CONTROLLED LET
12:39:34	14	ME REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
12:39:37	15	DID YOU DISCUSS WITH MR. GARY OR
12:39:40	16	MR. DOUGLAS WHETHER THERE WERE OCCASIONS WHERE AN
12:39:43	17	ADVICE LETTER WOULD GO OUT TO A PUBLISHER WHO
12:39:46	18	UNIVERSAL BELIEVED WAS COVERED BY A CONTROLLED
12:39:49	19	COMPOSITION CLAUSE VERSUS A REQUEST FOR A LICENSE?
12:39:51	20	DID YOU DISCUSS THAT TOPIC WITH THEM?
12:39:52	21	MR. KLAUS: OBJECTION. IT'S BEEN
12:39:53	22	ASKED AND ANSWERED SEVERAL TIMES NOW.
12:39:55	23	THERE'S NO NEED FOR THERE'S NO
12:39:58	24	NEED FOR MR. BUSCH TO ARGUE WITH YOU. IF YOU HAVE
	25	SOMETHING DIFFERENT TO SAY, YOU CAN SAY IT.

		Page 72
12:40:01	1	BY MR. BUSCH:
12:40:03	2	Q. DO YOU HAVE DID YOU DISCUSS THE
12:40:04	3	ISSUE OF AN ADVICE LETTER? YES OR NO?
12:40:04	4	MR. KLAUS: SHE SAID SHE DID.
12:40:07	5	(THE DEPONENT NODDED.)
12:40:09	6	MR. KLAUS: SHE SAID SHE DID,
12:40:09	7	RICHARD.
12:40:10	8	WHY ARE YOU WHY SHE SAID IT
12:40:12	9	HAPPENED ON OCCASION. WHY DO YOU CONTINUE WHY
12:40:15	10	DON'T YOU ASK HER WHY?
12:40:17	11	MR. BUSCH: NO. WHAT SHE SAID
12:40:20	12	HAPPENED ON OCCASION WAS A REQUEST FOR A LICENSE.
12:40:22	13	WHAT I'M ASKING IS: DID YOU
12:40:25	14	DISCUSS WHETHER THERE WERE ALSO OCCASIONS THAT AN
12:40:27	15	ADVICE LETTER WOULD GO OUT RATHER THAN A REQUEST
12:40:28	16	FOR A LICENSE?
12:40:30	17	MR. KLAUS: I THINK IT'S BEEN ASKED
12:40:34	18	AND ANSWERED. I THOUGHT SHE SAID THAT IT DID FIVE
12:40:34	19	QUESTIONS AGO.
12:40:34	20	THE DEPONENT: AND THAT'S CORRECT.
12:40:37	21	BY MR. BUSCH:
12:40:38	22	Q. OKAY. AND DID YOU DISCUSS WITH
12:40:40	23	THEM WHY THERE WOULD BE OCCASIONS THAT AN ADVICE
12:40:43	24	LETTER VERSUS A REQUEST FOR A LICENSE WOULD GO
	25	OUT?

		Page 73
12:40:47	1	A. YES.
12:40:48	2	Q. OKAY. AND WHAT DID THEY SAY?
12:40:53	3	A. THEY SAID THAT AND I THINK AS
12:40:56	4	I RECALL, MR. DOUGLAS HAD MORE INFORMATION IN THIS
12:40:59	5	REGARD THAN MR. GARY.
12:41:02	6	AND WHAT WE DISCUSSED WAS THAT AT
12:41:06	7	ONE TIME, THERE REALLY WASN'T A PRACTICE OF
12:41:11	8	ISSUING ADVICE LETTERS; THAT THE GENERAL THAT
12:41:14	9	OPERATING PROCEDURE ALTHOUGH THERE WAS NO
12:41:16	10	POLICY IN THIS REGARD, OPERATING PROCEDURE WAS
12:41:19	11	THAT THEY WOULD ISSUE A MECHANICAL LICENSE, EVEN
12:41:22	12	THOUGH THERE MIGHT BE A CONTROLLED COMP CLAUSE IN
12:41:25	13	THE ARTIST'S CONTRACT, BECAUSE OFTENTIMES
12:41:27	14	PUBLISHERS DID NOT WANT TO ACCEPT ADVICE LETTERS,
12:41:30	15	AND THEY WOULD COME BACK AND REQUEST A LICENSE.
12:41:33	16	OR, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU WERE
12:41:35	17	ISSUING THE LICENSE TO HARRY FOX, YOU CANNOT
12:41:38	18	THEY WILL NOT ACCEPT AN ADVICE LETTER. SO THE
12:41:40	19	PUBLISHER WOULD REQUEST SPECIFICALLY A LICENSE.
12:41:44	20	THEREFORE, IT WAS SOMETIMES EASIER
12:41:48	21	FOR THE LICENSING MANAGERS, AND AS I RECALL TODD
12:41:50	22	SAYING, TO JUST ISSUE A MECHANICAL LICENSE,
12:41:54	23	BECAUSE IN THEIR DEALINGS WITH PUBLISHERS, OFTEN
12:41:56	24	THEY DID NOT WANT AN ADVICE LETTER.
	25	Q. AND THIS IS WHAT MR. DOUGLAS TOLD

		D 76
12:44:31	1	Page 76 ADVICE LETTERS I'M SORRY ANOTHER REASON THAT
12:44:35	2	REQUESTS FOR LICENSES RATHER THAN ADVICE LETTERS
12:44:42	3	WOULD BE SENT TO PUBLISHERS WAS WHERE THE WAS
12:44:44	4	BECAUSE TERRIBLE QUESTION. LET ME JUST START
12:44:45	5	ALL OVER AGAIN IF I COULD.
12:44:49	6	DID MR. GARY OR MR. DOUGLAS TELL
12:44:55	7	YOU THAT ANOTHER REASON A REQUEST FOR A LICENSE
12:44:59	8	RATHER THAN AN ADVICE LETTER WOULD BE SENT BY
12:45:02	9	UNIVERSAL WOULD BE WHERE UNIVERSAL WAS UNCLEAR
12:45:05	10	WHETHER THE CONTROLLED COMPOSITION CLAUSE AT ISSUE
12:45:11	11	COVERED THE EXPLOITATION OF THE LICENSE?
12:45:13	12	MR. KLAUS: OBJECTION; VAGUE AND
12:45:14	13	AMBIGUOUS.
12:45:15	14	THE DEPONENT: I DO NOT RECALL THAT
12:45:20	15	WE DISCUSSED THAT SPECIFICALLY. IT WAS MORE THE
12:45:25	16	CASE MY IMPRESSION WAS THAT IT WAS MORE THE
12:45:28	17	CASE IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL DEALINGS WITH PUBLISHERS
12:45:31	18	WHAT WORKED BEST IN DEALING WITH THAT PUBLISHER.
12:45:32	19	MR. BUSCH: OKAY. I MOVE TO STRIKE
12:45:32	20	AS NONRESPONSIVE.
12:45:33	21	BY MR. BUSCH:
12:45:35	22	Q. I'M GOING TO ASK THE QUESTION.
12:45:36	23	YOU SAID
12:45:38	24	MR. KLAUS: I OPPOSE SINCE IT WAS
	25	COMPLETELY RESPONSIVE, BUT GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR

		Page 77
12:45:40	1	NEXT QUESTION.
12:45:42	2	BY MR. BUSCH:
12:45:43	3	Q. OKAY. I'M GOING TO ASK THE
12:45:44	4	QUESTION AGAIN.
12:45:46	5	YOU SAID YOU DID NOT DISCUSS THAT
12:45:49	6	SPECIFICALLY, AND YOU SAID IT WAS MORE OF YOUR
12:45:52	7	IMPRESSION FROM YOUR CONVERSATION, THAT IT WAS
12:45:53	8	WHAT YOU SAID.
12:45:55	9	BUT DID YOU DISCUSS WITH THEM AT
12:45:59	10	ALL WHETHER THERE WERE OCCASIONS WHERE A REQUEST
12:46:02	11	FOR A LICENSE WOULD BE SENT OUT RATHER THAN AN
12:46:06	12	ADVICE LETTER IF UNIVERSAL HAD A QUESTION OR DOUBT
12:46:09	13	ABOUT WHETHER THE CONTROLLED COMPOSITION CLAUSE
12:46:12	14	COVERED THE EXPLOITATION SOUGHT IN THE LICENSE?
12:46:14	15	A. THAT WAS NOT ONE OF THE REASONS
12:46:19	16	THAT THAT ONE OF THE RESPONSES THAT I RECEIVED.
12:46:20	17	NO, IT WAS NOT.
12:46:21	18	Q. OKAY. IT WAS NOT A RESPONSE YOU
12:46:24	19	RECEIVED FROM MR. DOUGLAS OR MR. GARY; CORRECT?
12:46:24	20	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
12:46:34	21	Q. OKAY. WHAT SUBJECTS DID YOU SPEAK
12:46:36	22	TO MR. HOFFMAN ABOUT? YOU HAD IDENTIFIED
12:46:39	23	MR. HOFFMAN AS SOMEBODY ELSE YOU SPOKE TO. DID
12:46:42	24	YOU RECEIVE ANY INFORMATION FROM MR. HOFFMAN ON
	25	ANY OF THESE TOPICS?