Document 1 Filed 11/20/2007

Page 1 of 11

Receipt Number 564400

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

THE ROMANTICS a/k/a MASTER BEAT, INC., a Michigan corporation; WALLY PALMAR; MIKE SKILL; and COZ CANLER,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case: 2:07-cv-14969 Judge: Roberts, Victoria A Referral MJ: Majzoub, Mona K Filed: 11-20-2007 At 04:21 PM CMP THE ROMANTICS ET AL V. ACTIVISI ON PUB ET AL (DA)

ACTIVISION PUBLISHING, INC.; a California corporation; HARMONIX MUSIC SYSTEMS, INC.; a Massachusetts corporation; REDOCTANE, INC., a California corporation; and WAVEGROUP SOUND, a California corporation.

Defendants.

WILLIAM H. HORTON (P31567) SEAN M. WALSH (P48724) ELIZABETH A. FAVARO (P69610) Attorneys for Plaintiffs GIARMARCO, MULLINS & HORTON, P.C. Tenth Floor Columbia Center 101 W. Big Beaver Road Troy, Michigan 48084-5280 **☎**(248) 457-7000

There is no other pending or resolved CIVIL ACTION ARISING OUT OF THE SAME TRANSACTION OR OCCURRENCE AS ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

NOW COME Plaintiffs The Romantics a/k/a Master Beat, Wally Palmar, Mike Skill, and Coz Canler, by their attorneys, GIARMARCO, MULLINS & HORTON, P.C., and complain of Defendants as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This case involves the intentional misappropriation of Plaintiffs' identity and persona and imitation of their distinctive sound as the well-known and highly-successful

GIARMARCO, MULLINS & HORTON, P.C.

Big Beaver Road ▼ Troy, Michigan 48084-5280 • P: (248) 457-7000 • F: (248) 457-7001 • www.gmhlaw.com

Tenth Floor Columbia Center ▼ 101 West

band "The Romantics." Plaintiffs have developed their identity, persona, and distinctive sound since 1979, which has been and continues to be a valuable property. Defendants have violated Plaintiffs' rights by carefully imitating Plaintiffs' identity, persona, and distinctive sound in order to sell their video game "Guitar Hero Encore, Rocks the 80's" without permission from or compensation to Plaintiffs. Defendants' scheme has been highly successful: the imitation of Plaintiffs on Defendants' video game is virtually indistinguishable from the authentic version, consumers are confused and lead to believe it is Plaintiffs on Defendants' video game and that Plaintiffs endorse Defendants' video game, and Defendants have sold millions of dollars worth of the game.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiffs Palmar, Skill, and Canler are the members of the band "The Romantics." Plaintiffs Palmar and Canler reside in Oakland County and are citizens of the State of Michigan. Plaintiff Skill resides in Seattle, Washington and is a citizen of the State of Washington. Plaintiff Master Beat, Inc. is a Michigan corporation and owns the trademark registration for the name "The Romantics."
- 3. Defendant Activision Publishing, Inc. is a corporation headquartered in Santa Monica, California and doing business world-wide. According to its website, it is "a leading international publisher of interactive entertainment software products." Defendant Harmonix Music Systems, Inc. is a corporation headquartered in Cambridge, Massachusetts and doing business world-wide. According to its website, it is a "videogame development company" specializing "in music-based games." Defendant RedOctane, Inc. is a corporation headquartered in Sunnyvale, California and doing business world-wide. Defendant

Tenth Floor Columbia Center ▼ 101 West Big Beaver Road ▼ Troy, Michigan 48084-5280 ▼ P: (248) 457-7000 ▼ F: (248) 457-7001 ▼ www.gmhlaw.com

RedOctane is a publisher, developer, and distributor of interactive entertainment software products. Defendant WaveGroup Sound is a sound recording and production company, headquartered in Fremont, California and does business throughout the United States. None of the Defendants are citizens of the State of Michigan.

JURISDICTION

- Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 USC § 1332 because 4. the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and because the parties are citizens of different Plaintiffs are citizens of Michigan and Washington. Defendants are citizens of California and Massachusetts.
- 5. Jurisdiction is also proper in this Court pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 because at least one of Plaintiffs' claims involves a federal question, i.e., the false endorsement of Defendants' product in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 USC § 1125.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district. In particular, Defendants have placed in commerce and sold and continue to place in commerce and sell their products in and throughout this judicial district.

THE SONG

7. Plaintiffs are song writers and musicians who perform individually and collectively as the popular band "The Romantics." They have platinum and gold albums to their credit and have sold millions of copies of their recordings worldwide. Among other songs, Plaintiffs wrote and made famous the song "What I Like About You" (the "Song"), which has sold millions of copies.

- 8. The Song is regularly performed by Plaintiffs and others licensed to perform it. It is also regularly licensed for use in movies, television, and radio advertising. For example, the Song has been used in the movies "Shrek 2," the Disney remake of "Freaky Friday," and "The Simpsons Movie," and has been used in advertising campaigns for Budweiser, T.G.I. Friday's restaurants, Barbie dolls, and the Los Angeles Dodgers baseball team.
- 9. Plaintiffs, both individually and collectively, are identified with the Song and derive a substantial income from performing the Song and licensing reproduction of its original studio recording (the "Master").

THE GAME

- 10. This case involves the interactive video game called "Guitar Hero Encore, Rocks the 80s" (the "Game"). The Game is one of a series of video games under the concept and name "Guitar Hero." In the Guitar Hero games, a video game computer, produced by Sony and called Playstation 2, is connected to a simulated guitar. The Game plays famous songs through audio speakers, while the video monitor shows various animated characters playing guitars and color coded musical notes. The object of the Game is for the player to play the color coded musical notes in the same order and at the same time as those displayed on the monitor. The player receives points for accurately playing the notes. The Guitar Hero series has been one of the most successful video games ever produced; since its introduction in 2004, it has sold millions of copies worldwide.
- 11. Defendant Activision is a developer, publisher, or distributor of the Guitar Hero series, including "Guitar Hero Encore, Rocks the 80s." Defendant Harmonix is a

developer, publisher, or distributor of Guitar Hero, which it touts as its "breakthrough franchise." Defendant RedOctane is a developer, publisher, or distributor of Guitar Hero and states on its website that its "leading software product offerings include Guitar Hero for the Playstation 2." Defendant WaveGroup typically performs music written by others and, in particular, performs the vast majority of the music on the Guitar Hero series of games.

- about July, 2007. Among other songs, the Game contains the Song. Recently, Plaintiffs were informed by fans that the Game contained the Song as performed by Plaintiffs. However, after reviewing various royalty statements and making other inquiries, Plaintiffs learned that the Song was not the Master as recorded by them. In addition, Defendants had been promoting the Game with a video of the Song under the banner "What I Like About You as made famous by The Romantics." In the music industry, the phrase "as made famous by" typically means the song is not the master recording, but rather an imitation.
- 13. Plaintiffs have confirmed that the Song is not the Master, but instead is a sound-alike imitation recorded by Defendant WaveGroup for use by the other Defendants in the Game.
- 14. As a sound-alike imitation, Defendants intended to imitate as closely as possible the Song as performed in the Master by Plaintiffs. In fact, in an interview on November 13, 2007, an executive of Defendant WaveGroup stated that Defendant WaveGroup tried to imitate the songs on Guitar Hero as closely as possible to "make the songs as true to the originals as possible" and to "create the illusion" that they are the original.

- None of the Defendants have the permission of Plaintiffs to perform a 15. sound-alike imitation of the Song.
- A number of fans of Plaintiffs have reported to them that they believed the Song on the Game was the Master or otherwise performed by Plaintiffs.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

- 17. Plaintiffs incorporate the above-paragraphs by reference.
- Plaintiffs have a right to the commercial use of their identities, persona, 18. and distinctive sound, including the right to prohibit others from using or exploiting their distinctive sound, identities, and persona for commercial purposes in all forms of communications, including video games.
- 19. Defendants violated that right by creating the sound-alike imitation of the Song, publicizing the Song as though it was performed by Plaintiffs, using the Song to drive sales of the Game, and otherwise using Plaintiffs' identity and distinctive sound for Defendants' commercial purposes, without Plaintiffs' permission.
- Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be damaged as a result of 20. Defendants' conduct. Plaintiffs' interest in their identity, persona, and distinctive sound has been developed over many years and is unique. The injury suffered by Plaintiffs currently and in the future cannot adequately be compensated by money damages alone and they are entitled to both preliminary and permanent injunctive relief. Plaintiffs are also entitled to damages for sales which have already occurred or will occur through the date of any injunctive relief, including exemplary damages because Defendants actions are and have

been malicious, willful and wanton, causing Plaintiffs outrage and indignity.

Tenth Floor Columbia Center ▼ 101 West Big Beaver Road ▼ Troy, Michigan 48084-5280 ▼ P: (248) 457-7000 ▼ F: (248) 457-7001 ▼ www.gmhlaw.com

COUNT II

FALSE ENDORSEMENT UNDER THE LANHAM ACT

- 21. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above paragraphs.
- 22. Plaintiffs have a protectable interest under the Lanham Act in that their identity, persona, name, and distinctive sound constitute a famous commercial trademark, as defined by 15 USC § 1125.
- 23. The Lanham Act and, in particular, 15 USC § 1125, expressly prohibits the use of a mark which is likely to deceive consumers and, in fact, has already deceived consumers, as to the association, sponsorship, or approval of goods or services by another person.
- 24. Defendants' use of the Song on the Game is confusingly similar to the Song as performed by Plaintiffs, especially when used in connection with the name "The Romantics," in that it implies that Plaintiffs associate, sponsor, approve, or endorse the Game and dilutes Plaintiffs' mark by blurring, tarnishment, and other means.
- 25. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be damaged as a result of Defendants' conduct.

COUNT III

UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 26. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above paragraphs.
- 27. Plaintiffs' identity, persona, name, and distinctive sound are widely known and are made valuable by Plaintiffs.

GIARMARCO, MULLINS & HORTON, P.C.

- 28. Defendants have taken Plaintiffs' distinctive sound by using the Song on the Game in a manner that is confusingly similar to the Song as performed by Plaintiffs, especially when used in connection with the name "The Romantics."
- Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be damaged as a result of 29. Defendants' actions, including exemplary damages because Defendants actions are and have been malicious, willful and wanton, causing Plaintiffs outrage and indignity.

COUNT IV

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 30. Plaintiffs incorporate the above paragraphs by reference.
- 31. Defendants have used and continue to use Plaintiffs' identities, persona and distinctive sound for their commercial purposes.
- 32. Defendants have received the benefits of Plaintiffs' identities, persona and distinctive sound by selling the Game.
- It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendants to retain the benefits 33. from the Game containing Plaintiffs' Song without the requirement that they pay for such use, including damages to compensate Plaintiffs for the malicious, willful and wanton acts of Defendants, which have caused Plaintiffs outrage and indegnity.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- Preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants and their A. agents, officers, employees, attorneys, and those acting in concert with them from:
 - i. Selling, attempting to sell, causing to be sold, copying, reproducing, publishing, disseminating, distributing,

circulating, promoting, marketing or advertising the Game or any portion or permitting any other individual or entity to do any of the above;

Taking orders for copies of the Game; ii.

Document 1

- Delivering or shipping copies of the Game by any means, iii. including internet downloads; and
- Using Plaintiffs' voices, identities, or persona in any iv. manner, including in the advertisement or promotion of the Game in any manner.
- Require Defendants to prepare, at their sole expense, a true and В. accurate accounting of their sales and profits derived from the sale and distribution of the Game;
- C. Award Plaintiffs damages, including exemplary damages, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact;
- Award Plaintiffs their attorney fees and costs of suit; and D.
- E. Provide any such other and further relief as may be just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand trial by jury.

GIARMARCO, MULLINS & HORTON, P.C.

By: Min. W. KAA

WILLIAM H. HORTON (P31567)

SEAN M. WALSH (P48724)

ELIZABETH A. FAVARO (P69610)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Tenth Floor Columbia Center

101 W. Big Beaver Road

Troy, Michigan 48084-5280

☎(248) 457-7000

₽bhorton@amhlaw.com

Dated: November 20, 2007

(Rev. 11/0) ase 2:07-cv-14962 NGE-MEWER COUNTY IN Which this action arose Page 10 of 11

.ie JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|--|---|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS The Romantics a/k/a MASTER BEAT, INC., a Michigan Corporation, WALLY PALMAR, MIKE SKILL, and COZ CANLER, | | | DEFENDANTS ACTIVISION PUBLISHING, INC., a California corporation, HARMONIX MUSIC SYSTEMS, INC., a Massachusetts corporation, REDOCTANE, INC., a California corporation, and WAVEGROUP SOUND, a California corporation | | |
| (b) County of Residence | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Los Angeles | | |
| (E. | XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | NOTE: IN LA | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF ND CONDEMNATION CASES US | · 1 |
| Giarmarco, Mullins & Horton William H, Horton (P31567) | , Troy, MI 48084 (248) 457-7000 | <u> </u> | Case: 2.07-cv-1 Judge: Roberts, Referral MJ: Ma Filed: 11-20-200 CMP THE ROM ON PUB ET AL | Victoria A jzoub, Mona K 07 At 04:21 PM ANTICS ET AL V. ACTIVIS | SI |
| □ 1 U.S. Government | ■ 3 Federal Question | | | PTF DEF | .fendant) PTF DEF |
| Plaintiff | (U.S. Government Not a Party) | Citize | en of This State | □ I □ I Incorporated or Pri of Business In This | ncipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4 |
| □ 2 U.S. Government | ☐ 4 Diversity | Citize | en of Another State | ☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and P | |
| Defendant | (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en or Subject of a | of Business In A | Another State |
| | | | reign Country | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | T (Select One Box Only) TORTS | Trop | FEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES |
| 110 Insurance | PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR | | 10 Agriculture | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 | ☐ 400 State Reapportionment |
| ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act | ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Med. Malpractics | | 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure | ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 | ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking |
| ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument | Liability 🗇 365 Personal Injury | - | of Property 21 USC 881 | 1 | ☐ 450 Commerce |
| ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &EnforcementofJudgment | ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Person | | 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck | PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights | 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and |
| ☐ 151 Medicare Act | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability | □ 6 | 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational | ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark | Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit |
| ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | ☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER | RTY | Safety/Health | D 640 Hauemark | ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV |
| (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment | ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud Liability ☐ 371 Truth in Lending | | 90 Other LABOR | SOCIAL SECURITY | ☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ |
| of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits | ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage | | 10 Fair Labor Standards Act | ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) | Exchange 875 Customer Challenge |
| ☐ 190 Other Contract | Product Liability 385 Property Damag | e 🗆 7. | 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations | s 🗇 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) | 12 USC 3410 |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise | 360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury | | 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act | g □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) | ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO | ONS 🗆 7 | 40 Railway Labor Act | FEDERAL TAX SUITS | B92 Economic Stabilization Act |
| ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca ☐ 442 Employment Sentence | | 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. | ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) | ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act |
| ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: | | Security Act | D 871 IRS—Third Party | ☐ 895 Freedom of Information |
| ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability | Accommodations | | | 26 USC 7609 | Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee |
| ☐ 290 All Other Real Property | ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & O Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights | ther | | | Determination Under Access to Justice |
| | ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condition | ո | | | ☐ 950 Constitutionality of |
| | Other 440 Other Civil Rights | | | | State Statutes |
| of 1 Original □ 2 R | ate Court Appellate Court | Reor | stated or D ano sened (spe | nsferred from 6 Multidistrecify) Litigation | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTI | Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a LANHAM ACT | are filing (| Do not cite jurisdiction | onal statutes unless diversity): | |
| , ii chess of her | Brief description of cause: VIOLATED RIGHTS OF PUBLICIST | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 | N D | EMAND \$ | CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND: | if demanded in complaint; Yes □ No |
| VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE | | ***** | DOCKET NUMBER | |
| DATE November 20, 2007 | SIGNATURE OF A | TORNIA | OF RECORD | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # A | MOUNT APPLYING IFP _ | | JUDGE | MAG. JUE | GE |

Case 2:07-cv-14969-NGE-MKM Document 1 Filed 11/20/2007 Page 11 of 11 . URSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

| 1. | Is this a case that has been previously dismissed? | Yes |
|--------------|--|---------------|
| If yes, give | ✓ No | |
| Court: | | |
| Case No.: | | |
| Judge: | | |
| | | |
| 2. | Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) | ☐ Yes ✓ No |
| If yes, give | the following information: | |
| Court: | | |
| Case No.: | | |
| Judge: | | |
| Notes : | | |
| | | |