Anderson v. Berghuis Doc. 13

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT JARMEL ANDERSON,

	Petitioner,	No. 08-CV-10515-DT
vs.		Hon. Gerald E. Rosen
MARY K. BERGHUIS,		
	Respondent.	

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S HABEAS CORPUS ACTION

At a session of said Court, held in the U.S. Courthouse, Detroit, Michigan on October 30, 2009

PRESENT: Honorable Gerald E. Rosen Chief Judge, United States District Court

This matter having come before the Court on the September 28, 2009 Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives recommending that the Court deny Plaintiff's petition for a writ of habeas corpus and that this case, accordingly, be dismissed; and Petitioner having timely filed Objections; and the Court having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, Petitioner's Objections, and the Court's file of this action and having concluded that, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, Plaintiff's petition for habeas corpus relief should be denied; and the Court being otherwise fully advised in the premises,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's

Report and Recommendation of September 28, 2009 [**Dkt.** # **9**] be, and hereby is, adopted by this Court.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for the reasons set forth in the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation, Plaintiff's petition for habeas corpus relief **Dkt.** #

1] be, and hereby is DENIED and the above-captioned case, therefore, is DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court concludes that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability or grant leave to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*. *See* Fed. R. App. Pro. 24(a).

s/Gerald E. Rosen
Chief Judge, United States District Court

Dated: October 30, 2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court adopts the R&R with two minor typographical corrections. The Court corrects page 5, line 1: the phrase "Respondent filed his objections" is corrected to read "Respondent filed her objections." Page 38, line 2 is similarly corrected: the phrase "errors in her trial" is corrected to read "errors in his trial."

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that	on <u>October 31, 2009</u>	, I electronically filed the fore	egoing paper with the
Clerk of the Court us	sing the ECF system wh	hich will send notification of suc	ch filing to the
following:	Andrew L.	Shirvell	, and I
hereby certify that I	have mailed by United	States Postal Service the paper t	o the following non-
ECF participants:			
Robert Jarmel And	erson, #352501, Earne	st C. Brooks Correctional Facilit	ty, 2500 S. Sheridan
Dr., Muskegon, MI	19444		
		s/Ruth A. Brissaud	
		Ruth A. Brissaud, Case	Manager
		(313) 234-5137	