## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

VITTORIO ABBRUZZINO,

Case No. 08-11534

Plaintiff,

Robert H. Cleland

United States District Judge

DR. HUTCHINSON, et al,

Michael Hluchaniuk

United States Magistrate Judge

Defendants.

## ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL WITHOUT PREJUDICE (Dkt. 33)

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. 33). Plaintiff, an inmate currently in the custody of the State of Michigan, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, claiming violations of his rights under the United States Constitution. (Dkt. 1). Plaintiff claims that after a serious leg injury and multiple surgeries, he continues to have an open and infected wound that defendants failed to treat. *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff identifies the lead defendant in this matter as "Dr. Hutchiton" in his complaint. Based on defendant's prior filings in this matter, the correct spelling of his last name is "Hutchinson." Thus, the Court orders the caption and docket to be amended to reflect the proper spelling of defendant Hutchinson's name.

Orders granting plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and directing service of process on defendants were entered on April 15, 2008. (Dkt. 3, 4). On April 23, 2008, District Judge Robert H. Cleland referred this matter to the undersigned for all pretrial purposes. (Dkt. 6). Plaintiff filed a motion for the appointment of counsel on April 30, 2008 (Dkt. 7), and on May 12, 2008, the Court denied that request. (Dkt. 8). On December 5, 2008, plaintiff filed a memorandum and affidavit in support of his second motion for appointment of counsel, which this Court also denied, without prejudice. (Dkt. 20, 21). In support of his third request for counsel, plaintiff again states that this is a complex case because it contains several different legal claims, involves medical issues that may require expert testimony, plaintiff has no legal education, and limited access to legal materials. (Dkt. 33).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), a federal court may request an attorney to represent an indigent plaintiff. *Reneer v. Sewell*, 975 F.2d 261 (6th Cir. 1992). Except in rare circumstances, it is the practice of this Court to consider the appointment of counsel in prisoner civil rights cases where exceptional circumstances exist, or in certain cases, only after a motion to dismiss or for summary judgment has been decided. In order to make the determination whether there are exceptional circumstances to appoint counsel, the Court considers the type of case involved, plaintiff's ability to represent himself, as well as the

complexity of the case, and also whether the claims being presented are frivolous or have a small likelihood of success. *Reneer*, 975 F.2d at 261; *Mars v. Hanberry*, 752 F.2d 254, 256 (6th Cir. 1995).

It appears that, from reading the complaint and other documents submitted by plaintiff, he has an adequate understanding of the matters involved in this case, and is able to articulate his claims and arguments in a reasonable fashion. It also appears that the issues raised in his complaint are straightforward and understandable and not of an unduly complex nature. Plaintiff has not provided any basis, at this point, for the Court to revisit its earlier decisions to deny appointed counsel. Should plaintiff survive summary judgment in this matter, plaintiff may re-file his motion for the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

The Court also **ORDERS** that the caption and docket in this matter be **AMENDED** to reflect the proper spelling of defendant Hutchinson's name.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

The parties to this action may object to and seek review of this Order, but are required to file any objections within 10 days of service as provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 72.1(d)(2). A party may not assign as error any defect in this Order to which timely objection was not made. Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(a).

Any objections are required to specify the part of the Order to which the party objects and state the basis of the objection. Pursuant to Local Rule 72.1(d)(2), any objections must be served on this Magistrate Judge.

Date: April 3, 2009

s/Michael Hluchaniuk
Michael Hluchaniuk
United States Magistrate Judge

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on <u>April 3, 2009</u>, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, which will send electronic notification to the following: <u>Ronald W. Chapman, Kimberley A. Koester, and Carly A. Van Thomme</u>, and I certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the paper to the following non-ECF participants: <u>Vittorio Abbruzzino</u> # 226307, <u>BARAGA MAXIMUM CORRECTIONAL FACILITY</u>, 13924 Wadaga Road, Baraga, MI 49908-9204.

s/James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S. District Court
600 Church Street
Flint, MI 48502
(810) 341-7850
pete\_peltier@mied.uscourts.gov