

EXHIBIT F



WILLENKEN
WILLENKEN WILSON LOH & LIEB LLP

January 24, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND
U.S. MAIL

Enrico Schaefer, Esq.
Traverse Legal
810 Cottageview Drive, Suite G-20
Traverse City, MI 49684

Re: *Weather Underground v. Navigation Catalyst Systems, Inc.*

Dear Enrico:

I am writing to follow-up on my earlier letter of December 29, 2010 to which I have not received a response.

As I noted in my earlier letter, the privileged extended to communications between your firm and Mr. Schwerzler would have been waived when you designated Mr. Schwerzler as your expert witness under the Federal Rules that were in effect on November 29, 2010 (the date on which the responses to NCS's Third Set of Requests for Production were due). *Rochow v. Life Ins. Co. of North America*, 2010 WL 100633 (E.D. Mich. 2010).

To that end, your designation of Deposition Exhibit 220 as "privileged" is not appropriate, and I previously asked you to retract that designation. You have not indicated whether you intend to retract that designation. Further, you have not indicated whether you have withheld other communications between your firm and Mr. Schwerzler which should have been produced.

As a result, I have no choice but to assume that: (i) you will not retract your designation of Exhibit 220 as privileged and (ii) there are communications between your and Mr. Schwerzler which have not been produced in response to NCS's Requests for Production relating to expert discovery.



Correspondingly, and absent some contrary indication, NCS will be moving to de-designate Exhibit 220 as privileged and to compel production of communications between your firm and Mr. Schwerzler which postdate the decision to designate him as an expert.

Very truly yours,

William A. Delgado

cc: Ben Steffans (via e-mail)