UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RICHARD JOHN HAHN and PAUL RICHARD HAHN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 09-13551 Hon. Lawrence P. Zatkoff Mag. Virginia M. Morgan

GULF STREAM COACH, INC. and GENERAL RV CENTER, INC.,

Defenda	ints.
	/

Count VII

Breach of contract.

ORDER REMANDING PLAINTIFFS' STATE LAW CLAIMS

Defendants filed their notice of removal to this Court on September 9, 2009, alleging federal subject-matter jurisdiction on the basis of a federal question, 15 U.S.C. § 2301 *et seq*. Plaintiffs' complaint alleges the following eight counts:

Count I	Breach of express warranty.
Count II	Breach of implied warranty of merchantability.
Count III	Revocation of acceptance and rescission.
Count IV	Breach of written warranty under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15
	U.S.C. § 2301 et seq.
Count V	Breach of implied warranty under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15
	U.S.C. § 2301 et seq.
Count VI	Violation of the Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mich.Comp.Laws.
	445.901 et seq.

Count VIII Rescission.

A civil action brought in a state court, of which the federal district courts have original

jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or defendants to a federal district court. 28 U.S.C.

§ 1441(a). Federal district courts have original subject-matter jurisdiction over cases arising under

federal law. 28 U.S.C. § 1331. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Count IV and Count

V because they arise under federal law. 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Counts I, II, III, VI, VII, and VIII,

however, are based on state law. Although the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state-law

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), the Court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction

if there are "compelling reasons for declining jurisdiction." *Id.* § 1367(c)(4). The Court declines to

exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state-law claims in this matter. The Court finds

that the contemporaneous presentation of Plaintiffs' parallel state claims for relief will result in the

undue confusion of the jury. See id. See also Padilla v. City of Saginaw, 867 F. Supp. 1309, 1315

(E.D. Mich. 1994).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs' state-law claims (Counts I, II, III, VI, VII and

VIII) are hereby REMANDED to the Wayne County Circuit Court. The Court retains jurisdiction

over Plaintiffs' federal claims (Count IV and Count V).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Lawrence P. Zatkoff

LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: September 17, 2009

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of this Order was served upon the attorneys of record by electronic or U.S. mail on September 17, 2009.

S/Marie E. Verlinde

Case Manager (810) 984-3290 2