UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

John Murphy and Marybeth Murphy,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 10-11103

Citimortgage, Inc.,

Honorable Sean F. Cox

Defendant.

ORDER DECLINING TO EXERCISE SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION OVER STATE-LAW CLAIMS

Plaintiffs filed this action on March 18, 2010, asserting federal question jurisdiction

under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Plaintiffs' complaint contains thirteen counts.

Although this Court has federal question jurisdiction over Counts I and IV, the remaining

counts are based on state law.

The applicable statute regarding supplemental jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1367, provides, in

pertinent part, that district courts may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over a claim

when:

the claim raises a novel or complex issue of State law;
the claim substantially predominates over the claim or claims over which the district court has original jurisdiction;
the district court has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction, or
in exceptional circumstances, there are other compelling reasons for declining jurisdiction.

28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

Having reviewed the state-law claims in Plaintiffs' complaint, this Court concludes that

Plaintiffs' state-law claims predominate. 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(2). In addition, the Court finds that the potential for jury confusion in this case would be great if Plaintiffs' federal claims were presented to a jury along with Plaintiffs' state-law claims. Thus, the potential for jury confusion is yet another reasons for this Court to decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state-law claims. *United Mine Workers v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715 (1966); *Padilla v. City of Saginaw*, 867 F.Supp. 1309 (E.D. Mich. 1994); 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(4).

Accordingly, the Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state-law claims and **IT IS ORDERED** that Counts II, III, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII and XIII of Plaintiffs' Complaint are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>S/Sean F. Cox</u> Sean F. Cox United States District Judge

Dated: March 30, 2010

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on March 30, 2010, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Jennifer Hernandez Case Manager