Crump v. Best et al Doc. 43

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

HOWARD CRUMP,

Plaintiff,

EDMI No. 10-cv-13787 6th Cir. No. 12-1443

vs. Hon. G

Hon. Gerald E. Rosen

AMY BEST, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATION OF GOOD FAITH APPEAL

At a session of said Court, held in the U.S. Courthouse, Detroit, Michigan on March 22, 2013

PRESENT: Honorable Gerald E. Rosen
United States District Chief Judge

On March 27, 2012, this Court adopted the Magistrate Judge's two detailed Reports and Recommendations of March 5, 2012 and entered an Opinion and Order and Judgment granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint in this prisoner civil rights action, in its entirety. On April 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal. Though he did not do so in this Court, on May 31, 2012, Plaintiff filed an application for leave to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* in the Court of Appeals. The appellate court now asks this Court whether it will certify that the appeal could be taken in good faith.

Under 28 U.S.C. §1915(a), "an appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the [district] court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." The good faith

standard is an objective one. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). The

test under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) for whether an appeal is taken in good faith is whether the

litigant seeks appellate review of any issue that is not frivolous. *Id.* The Supreme Court

has further defined a "frivolous" action as one that "lacks an arguable basis either in law

or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827, 1831 (1989).

For the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Reports and Recommendations, in

which this Court has concurred and adopted, Plaintiff's § 1983 civil rights claims are

factually and legally deficient. This Court, therefore, makes the finding that Plaintiff's

claims are frivolous because they lack an arguable basis in law or fact. This finding

compels the conclusion that an appeal would not be taken in good faith.

It is therefore CERTIFIED, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal in

this matter by Plaintiff would not be taken in good faith.

SO ORDERED.

s/Gerald E. Rosen

Chief Judge, United States District Court

Dated: March 22, 2013

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or

counsel of record on March 22, 2013, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Julie Owens

Case Manager, (313) 234-5135