Norton et al v. Zubkoff et al Doc. 60

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KENNETH NORTON and KENDALE FARMS,

Plaintiffs,

Case Number 10-15104 Honorable David M. Lawson

v.

RICHARD P. ZUBKOFF, THOMAS LICKTEIG, and MOWTEQ, LLC,

Defendants.

,

STIPULATED ORDER FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT THOMAS LICKTEIG ONLY

On June 26, 2012, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of the plaintiffs and against defendant Thomas Lickteig on the plaintiffs' claims for fraud, negligent representation, detrimental reliance, and negligent concealment. Plaintiffs Kenneth Norton and Kendale Farms, and defendant Thomas Lickteig, have stipulated to the entry of a judgment in the amount of \$747,000 against defendant Lickteig only.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) provides that "[w]hen an action presents more than one claim for relief . . . , the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more, but fewer than all, claims . . . only if the court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay." The rule imposes two requirements before judgment may be entered on less than all claims: 1) the decision must "entirely resolve[]" an individual claim, and 2) there must be "no just reason for delay." *Lowery v. Fed. Express Corp.*, 426 F.3d 817, 821 (6th Cir. 2005). The Court's order granting in part the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment entirely resolved the plaintiffs' claims for fraud, negligent representation, detrimental reliance, and negligent concealment as to defendant