UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

VISUAL INTERACTIVE PHONE CONCEPTS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE, INC., A Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.
_____/

Case No. 11-cv-12348

Hon. Lawrence P. Zatkoff
Mag. Judge Laurie Michelson

STIPULATED ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING DEADLINES ON GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

Defendant Google, Inc. ("Google") has filed a Motion to Dismiss Under FRCP 12(b)(6) for Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief can be Granted or in the Alternative, for a More Definite Statement Pursuant to Rule 12(e) [DE 11]. Since Google's motion combines a dispositive motion and a non-dispositive motion that, pursuant to LR 7.1(e), have different response and reply deadlines, the Parties have stipulated that the dispositive motion deadlines control.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Lawrence P. Zatkoff
U.S. District Judge

Dated: July 26, 2011

Stipulated to by:

s/Brendan H. Frey

Brendan H. Frey (P70893)
Mantese Honigman Rossman and Williamson, P.C.
Attorneys for Visual Interactive Phone Concepts, Inc.

s/Michelle Alamo

Michelle Alamo (P60684)
Dickinson Wright PLLC
Attorneys for Google, Inc.