UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ESTATE OF JERRY MALLOY, ET AL,

Case No. 11-12922

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Nancy G. Edmunds

v.

PNC BANK, ET AL,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT TROTT & TROTT'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT TROTT & TROTT'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS BROUGHT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(c) [24] AND CANCELLING HEARING ON TROTT & TROTT'S MOTION SCHEDULED FOR JANUARY 18, 2012

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Trott & Trott's motion seeking dismissal, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(c) and 56. The Court finds that the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the parties' pleadings and that the decision process would not be significantly aided by oral argument. Therefore, pursuant to Eastern District of Michigan Local Rule 7.1(f)(2), it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant Trott & Trott's motion [24] be resolved as submitted. For the reasons stated below, Defendant Trott & Trott's Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings [24] is GRANTED. The hearing previously scheduled for Defendant's motion on January 18, 2010 is hereby CANCELLED.

In its previous Order [20] denying Plaintiffs' motion to remand, this Court concluded that Defendant Trott & Trott had been fraudulently joined because, on the facts alleged by Plaintiffs, they did not have a colorable basis for any claims against Trott & Trott. In the present motion, Defendant Trott & Trott reasserts the arguments this Court previously found persuasive – that Trott & Trott was fraudulently joined as a non-diverse party Defendant because Plaintiffs did not have a colorable state-law cause of action against Defendant law firm. For that same reason, Defendant Trott & Trott's Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings is GRANTED. Moreover, following the Sixth Circuit's mandate in *Probus v. Charter Communications, LLC*, 234 F. App'x 404, 407 (6th Cir. 2007), because Defendant Trott & Trott is to be dismissed from this suit entirely, the Court will not address the merits of its summary judgment motion.

SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Nancy G. Edmunds</u> Nancy G. Edmunds United States District Judge

Dated: January 4, 2012

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on January 4, 2012, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

<u>s/Carol A. Hemeyer</u> Case Manager