Burton v. Parks et al Doc. 60

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KENNETH B. BURTON,			0 11 11 11-0
	Plaintiff,		Case No. 11-cv-14768
i iaiitiii,			HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
V.			
J. PARKS, et al.,			
	Defendants.	1	

ORDER ADOPTING AMENDED REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (docket no. 53), AND GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE CLAIMS (docket no 41)

This matter is before the Court upon the motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claims of medical negligence and gross negligence (ECF No. 41) filed by Defendants Dr. Harriet Squire, P.A. John Kearney, Dr. Marvin Keeling, and Dr. Maurice Potts, and upon the magistrate judge's amended report and recommendation (ECF No. 53). The magistrate judge recommends that the Court decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims and that it grant Defendants' motion to dismiss on this basis.

Plaintiff Kenneth Burton filed a motion for extension of time to object to the report and recommendation on July 19, 2012. Mot. to Extend Time to Object, ECF No. 56. The Court granted his motion and ordered him to file any objections to the report and recommendation by Friday, August 10, 2012. Order Granting Mot. Extend Time, ECF No. 57. This deadline has passed and Plaintiff has not filed any objections.

Because neither party has filed objections, de novo review of the magistrate judge's findings is not required. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (requiring de novo review by a district

judge only for "any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly

objected to").

Having reviewed the file and the instant report and recommendation, the Court finds

that the magistrate judge's analysis is proper. Accordingly, the Court adopts the findings

and conclusions contained in the report. The Court will dismiss Plaintiff's medical

malpractice and gross negligence claims without prejudice because it declines to exercise

supplemental jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE it is hereby ORDERED that the report and recommendation filed July

13, 2012, (docket no 53) is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to dismiss (docket no. 41) filed by

Defendants Squire, Kearney, Keeling and Potts is **GRANTED** consistent with the terms of

this Order and the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

The federal claims against Defendants Squire, Kearney, Keeling, and Potts remain

pending.

SO ORDERED.

s/Stephen J. Murphy, III

STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III

United States District Judge

Dated: August 28, 2012

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or

counsel of record on August 28, 2012, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Carol Cohron

Case Manager

2