FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

FEB 2 2 2012

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL East Dist. of MI Detroit

Filed: 02/17/12 **U.S. District Court**

on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK

IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2311

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-1)

On February 7, 2012, the Panel transferred 1 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. See _F.Supp.2d_ (J.P.M.L. 2012). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Michigan. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Marianne O Battani.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Michigan and assigned to Judge Battani.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Eastern District of Michigan for the reasons stated in the order of February 7, 2012, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Marianne O Battani.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Feb 17, 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

> I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

Clerk, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Michiga

By <u>Sarah Schoenherr</u> Deputy Clerk

IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2311

SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	<u>DIV.</u>	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
ALABAMA SOUTHERN			
ALS	1	11-00696	Sirmon et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10675
ARKANSAS EASTERN			
ARE	4	11-00757	Landers Auto Group Number One Inc v. Delphi Automotive Systems LLC et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN CAN	3 3	11–05057 11–05477	Nicoud v. Furukawa Electric Company LTD et al 12-10677 Budner et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10678
CAN	3	12-00258	Maravilla et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10679
CAN	4	11–05301	Kelly et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10680
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
DC	1	11-01892	MARTENS CARS OF WASHINGTON INC. v. 12-10681 FURUKAWA ELECTRIC CO., LTD. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	11-03177	Muscara v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10682
LAE	2	12-00003	Brock et al v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10683
LAE	2	12-00048	Keifer v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10684
LAE	2	12-00062	Byrne et al v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10685
LAE	2	12-00171	Josefsberg v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10686
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	11-03092	Superstore Automotive, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10687
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	3	11-00647	12-10688

Case MDL No. 2311 Document 183 Filed 02/17/12 Page 3 of 3

Hammett Motor Company, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al

PUERTO RICO

Crespo v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10689 PR 3 11-02176

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 5 12-00079 Nickell v. Delphi Automotive LLP

12-10690