	<u>To</u> : Cc: Bcc: Subject:
From:	peter bormuth <wardance@live.com></wardance@live.com>
To:	john gillooly <jgillooly@garanlucow.com></jgillooly@garanlucow.com>
Cc:	forbush <aforbush@plunkettcooney.com>, lisa wagner <lisa wagner@mied.uscourts.gov=""></lisa></aforbush@plunkettcooney.com>

Mr. Gillooly

Date:

Subject:

I did not receive service of your answer so how was i supposed to know that you filed a response?

I sent you an e-mail informing you that i had not received service and you did not respond to it.

Therefore i questioned whether you had filed an answer.

07/30/2012 03:19 PM

RE: Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 8 (b) (6)

I believe you are required to serve me with your filings Mr. Gillooly.

Peter Bormuth

- > Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2012 15:13:56 -0400
- > Subject: Re: Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 8 (b) (6)
- > From: jgillooly@garanlucow.com
- > To: wardance@live.com
- > CC: lisa_wagner@mied.uscourts.gov; aforbush@plunkettcooney.com; jdruzinski@garanlucow.com;
- dkrauss@garanlucow.com
- >
- > Sir,
- >

> Our answer to your first amended complaint was filed on July 16, 2012.

>

> Please file a pleading if you have an issue with my representation of

- > my clients and stop the e-mails.
- >
- >
- > John J. Gillooly
- > Garan Lucow Miller, P.C.
- > 1000 Woodbridge Street
- > Detroit, MI 48207
- > 313.446.5501--Direct
- > www.garanlucow.com
- >
- >

> On Mon, Jul 30, 2012 at 2:36 PM, peter bormuth <wardance@live.com> wrote:

- > > Ms. Wagner
- > >
- > > If Mr. Gillooly did not file an answer to my amended complaint, i believe
- > > Rule 8 (b) (6) which states: Effect of Failing to Deny. An allegation—other
- > > than one relating to the amount of damages—is admitted if a responsive

- > > pleading is required and the allegation is not denied.
- > >
- > > Is this correct? An amended complaint requires a responsive pleading.
- > >
- > > My allegations must be admitted by the Court by default.
- > >
- > > Peter Bormuth