

To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject:

From: peter bormuth <wardance@live.com>

To: lisa_wagner@mied.uscourts.gov> Cc: forbush <aforbush@plunkettcooney.com>

Date: 07/30/2012 03:28 PM

Subject: FW: Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 8 (b) (6)

Mr. Gillooly never served me before this e-mail and I would like that acknowledged by the Court.

Peter Bormuth

From: wardance@live.com

> > did not respond to it.

To: jgillooly@garanlucow.com Subject: RE: Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 8 (b) (6) Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2012 15:25:35 -0400 not "again" Sir. For the first time. > Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2012 15:23:48 -0400 > Subject: Re: Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 8 (b) (6) > From: jgillooly@garanlucow.com > To: wardance@live.com; dkrauss@garanlucow.com > CC: aforbush@plunkettcooney.com; lisa_wagner@mied.uscourts.gov > Our pleading is again attached. > > > John J. Gillooly > Garan Lucow Miller, P.C. > 1000 Woodbridge Street > Detroit, MI 48207 > 313.446.5501--Direct > www.garanlucow.com > On Mon, Jul 30, 2012 at 3:18 PM, peter bormuth <wardance@live.com> wrote: > > Mr. Gillooly > > I did not receive service of your answer so how was i supposed to know that > > you filed a response?

> > I sent you an e-mail informing you that i had not received service and you

```
> > Therefore i questioned whether you had filed an answer.
> > I believe you are required to serve me with your filings Mr. Gillooly.
> >
> > Peter Bormuth
> >
> >> Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2012 15:13:56 -0400
> >> Subject: Re: Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 8 (b) (6)
> >> From: jgillooly@garanlucow.com
> >> To: wardance@live.com
>> CC: lisa_wagner@mied.uscourts.gov; aforbush@plunkettcooney.com;
> >> jdruzinski@garanlucow.com; dkrauss@garanlucow.com
> >>
> >> Sir,
> >>
>>> Our answer to your first amended complaint was filed on July 16, 2012.
> >> Please file a pleading if you have an issue with my representation of
> >> my clients and stop the e-mails.
> >>
> >>
> >> John J. Gillooly
> >> Garan Lucow Miller, P.C.
> >> 1000 Woodbridge Street
> >> Detroit, MI 48207
> >> 313.446.5501--Direct
>>> www.garanlucow.com
> >>
> >>
>>> On Mon, Jul 30, 2012 at 2:36 PM, peter bormuth <wardance@live.com> wrote:
>>> Ms. Wagner
> >> >
>>> If Mr. Gillooly did not file an answer to my amended complaint, i
>>> believe
>>> Rule 8 (b) (6) which states: Effect of Failing to Deny. An
>>> > allegation—other
>>> than one relating to the amount of damages—is admitted if a responsive
>>> pleading is required and the allegation is not denied.
> >> >
>>> Is this correct? An amended complaint requires a responsive pleading.
>>> My allegations must be admitted by the Court by default.
> >> >
>>> Peter Bormuth
```