## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PETER BORMUTH,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 12-11235

CITY OF JACKSON, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

## ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SET ASIDE

Plaintiff moves to set aside the May 9, 2013, order on the ground that he recently

moved in the court of appeals for re-hearing of a petition for mandamus. A petition for

mandamus does not remove the jurisdiction of the district court. See, e.g., Ellis v. U.S.

Dist. Court (In re Ellis), 360 F.3d 1022 (9th Cir. 2004); Woodson v. Surgitek, Inc., 57

F.3d 1406, 1416 (5th Cir. 1995). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to set aside [Dkt. # 94] is DENIED.

<u>s/Robert H. Cleland</u> ROBERT H. CLELAND UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: May 21, 2013

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record on this date, May 21, 2013, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

> <u>s/Lisa Wagner</u> Case Manager and Deputy Clerk (313) 234-5522