

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ANGELO WISE,

Petitioner,

Case Number 2:13-cv-10360  
Honorable Paul D. Borman

v.

MARY BERGHUIS,

Respondent.

---

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION [Dkt. 16]

Petitioner, Angelo Wise, a state prisoner, filed this case under 28 U.S.C. § 22541. On February 15, 2013, the Court denied Petitioner's motion for an evidentiary hearing without prejudice. Petitioner has filed a motion for reconsideration. He state no basis whatsoever for his motion. Rather, he simply states that he wishes the Court to reconsider its order.

Local Rule 7.1(h) allows a party to file a motion for reconsideration. However, a motion for reconsideration which presents the same issues already ruled upon by the court, either expressly or by reasonable implication, will not be granted. *Ford Motor Co. v. Greatdomains.com, Inc.*, 177 F. Supp. 2d 628, 632 (E.D. Mich. 2001). The movant must not only demonstrate a palpable defect by which the court and the parties have been misled but also show that a different disposition of the case must result from a correction thereof. A palpable defect is a defect that is obvious, clear, unmistakable, manifest, or plain. *Witzke v. Hiller*, 972 F. Supp. 426, 427 (E.D. Mich. 1997). Because Petitioner has not shown any

reason why the Court's prior order was erroneously entered, his motion for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED.**

s/Paul D. Borman  
PAUL D. BORMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: February 3, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on February 3, 2014.

s/Deborah Tofil  
Case Manager