## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MAGNA ELECTRONICS, INC	MAGNA	ELE	CTRON	NICS,	INC
------------------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 13-11376 HON. AVERN COHN

VALEO NORTH AMERICA, INC.; VALEO S.A.; VALEO GMBH; VALEO SCHALTER UND SENSOREN GMBH; and CONNAUGHT ELECTRONICS LTD.,

Dele	nuan	ıs.		

Databalanta

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FOLLOWING IN CAMERA REVIEW

I.

This is a patent case. Now before the Court is

Defendants' Request for *In Camera* Review of Documents Magna is Withholding as Privileged or Work Product (Doc. 179).

A listing of relevant papers subsequent to the Request follow:

- Magna's Response to Defendants' Motion to File Under Seal (Doc. 180) (Doc. 182)
- Identification of Exemplary Documents for *In Camera* Review (Doc. 185)
- Order Granting Request for In Camera Review (Doc. 186)
- Magna's Submission of Documents for *In Camera* Review (Doc. 189)
- Defendants' Response to Magna's Submission of Documents for In Camera Review (Doc. 190).

This Memorandum resolves the Request and sets forth the documents which

must be disclosed and the documents which are protected by attorney-client and work product privilege.

As an initial matter, the law relating to privilege is well known and need not be repeated here. The most recent articulation can be found in the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's decision in <a href="In re Spaulding Sports Worldwide">In In re Spaulding Sports Worldwide</a>, Inc., 203 F.3d 800 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

II.

Α.

The documents submitted for *In Camera* review have been lodged with the Court in a three-ring binder labeled

 Magna's Resubmission of Documents for *In Camera* Review Pursuant to Court Order

B.

The documents in question are divided into four (4) categories, as follows:

- A. Documents for Which No Lawyer Is Identified as an Author or Recipient
  - Identified authors and recipients are not lawyers: Clawback Log Entries MAGE00004211, MAGE00008653-655, MAGE00053690-696, MAGE00156090, and MAGE00222580.
  - No author or recipient is identified: Non-Email Log Entries 412, 448, 496, 546, and 1157.
- B. Documents for Which Magna's Basis for Claiming Privilege Is that the Documents Contain Information from the Client

Non-Email Log Entries 50, 190, 251, 276, 449, 450, 452, 453, 491, and 495.

C. Draft Communications

 Clawback Log Entries MAGE00008697-700, MAGE00008746-751, MAGE00132334-343, and

MAGE00133964-966, and MAGE00144013-014.

D. Documents Related to Patent Prosecution that Were Not

Communicated to Anyone at Magna

Non-Email Log Entries 192, 195, 411, 413, 430, 851, 855,

and 1111.

C.

The Court has reviewed the documents. Attached are the results of the review.

The documents labeled **yes** are neither work product nor privileged; the documents

labeled **no** are either privileged or work product. Particularly, many of them are drafts of

prior applications for patents; as such they are privileged. See McCook Metals, LLC v.

Alcoa, Inc., 192 F.R.D. 242252-53 (N.D. III. 2000).

SO ORDERED.

S/Avern Cohn AVERN COHN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: 4/26/2018

Detroit, Michigan

3