Fuller v. Kerr, et al Doc. 74

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS FULLER,

Plaintiff,	Case No. 13-cv-13171 Hon. Matthew F. Leitmar
v.	
DAVID KERR et al.,	
Defendants.	/

ORDER CONDITIONALLY APPOINTING COUNSEL

In 2011, Plaintiff Leroy Fuller ("Fuller") was a resident of the Tri-County Community Adjudication Program ("Tri-Cap"). Tri-Cap is a diversion program that aims to reduce non-violent offender admissions to jail or prison. (*See* ECF #48 at 12, Pg. ID 314.) In this action, Fuller alleged that while he was housed at Tri-Cap, Defendant David Kerr ("Kerr"), a Tri-Cap employee, subjected him to excessive force and committed assault and battery against him by spraying him with a de-lousing agent during a contraband check. Fuller also claims that Kerr and Defendants Gary Davis, Janet Cochran, and John W. Hawley (collectively, the "Defendants") violated his Eighth Amendment rights by withholding medical care and prescription drugs.

The Defendants jointly filed a motion to dismiss and for summary judgment on December 31, 2014 (the "Motion"). (See ECF # 48, Pg. ID 303.) On September 21, 2015, this Court issued an Order denying the Defendants' Motion with respect to Fuller's excessive force and assault and battery claims against Kerr, but granted the Motion in all other respects. (See ECF #73 at 1, Pg. ID 863.) Consequently, Fuller's surviving excessive force and assault and battery claims may proceed to a jury trial because the parties genuinely dispute the material facts regarding these claims. SEC v. Sierra Brokerage Servs., Inc., 712 F.3d 321, 326-27 (6th Cir. 2013) (citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 251-52 (1986)).

Fuller is proceeding *pro se* in this action. Unlike criminal cases, there is no constitutional or statutory right to the appointment of counsel in civil cases. *See Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d 601, 605-06 (6th Cir. 1993). However, this District has a procedure in which cases are referred to a Pro Bono Committee that requests members of the bar to assist in appropriate cases. This Court believes Fuller would benefit from the assistance of appointed pro bono counsel in this matter.

Accordingly, this case is referred to the Pro Bono Committee. Fuller is

conditionally granted appointment of counsel provided that the Committee is

successful in enlisting pro bono counsel. If the Committee is unsuccessful, counsel

will not be appointed and Fuller will proceed pro se or retain counsel at his own

expense.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Matthew F. Leitman

MATTHEW F. LEITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: September 28, 2015

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on September 28, 2015, by electronic means and/or

ordinary mail.

s/Teresa McGovern

in the Absence of Holly Monda

Case Manager

(313) 234-5113