Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Plaintiff,

٧.

Case No. 13-13308

PATRICK FULLER, ROBERT NUCKOLLS, DAVID KENAMER, KYLE GUEST, MARK WING, and JASON WHITE,

HON. AVERN COHN

Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

VERDICT

I.

Unlawful Force

A. Liability

1.	Was Patrick Fuller's use of force against William Jennings unlawful?					
	Yes					
	No					
2.	Was David Kenamer's use of force against William Jennings unlawful?					
	Yes					
	No					
3.	Was Robert Nuckolls's use of force against William Jennings unlawful?					
	No					
4.	Was Mark Wing's use of force against William Jennings unlawful?					
	Yes					
	No					
5.	Was Jason White's use of force against William Jennings unlawful?					
	Yes					
	No					
	(If your answer to any of the above questions is "yes," go to "B." If your answer to all of the above questions is "no," you have completed your deliberations.)					
	n Pr					

B. Causation

(Answer only as to the defendant(s) you found liable under "A.")

1.	Did William Jennings suffer damages as a consequence of the use of unlawfu
force l	Patrick Fuller?

Yes No

2. Did William Jennings suffer damages as a consequence of the use of unlawful force by David Kenamer?

3: Did William Jennings suffer damages as a consequence of the use of unlawful force by Robert Nuckolls?

_____Yes

4. Did William Jennings suffer damages as a consequence of the use of unlawful force by Mark Wing?

_____Yes

5. Did William Jennings suffer damages as a consequence of the use of unlawful force by Jason White?

(If your answer to any of the above questions is "yes," go to "Part II." If your answer to all of the above questions is "no," you have completed your deliberations.)

II.

Amount of Damages

(Answer this question if you answered "yes" to any question under "Part I.B" above.)

1. The amount of damages William Jennings suffered as a consequence of the use of unlawful force from September 18, 2010 to the present is:

2. The amount of damages William Jennings is likely to suffer in the future as a consequence of the use of unlawful force is:

III.

Punitive Damages

(Answer this Part only if you awarded damages for the use of unlawful force.)

(Answer only as to a defendant for whom you answered "yes" under "Part I.B.")

A. Patrick Fuller

1. Was the conduct of Patrick Fuller toward William Jennings malicious, wanton or oppressive?

(Answer this question if you answered "yes" to the question above.)

2. The amount of punitive damages to be awarded William Jennings as a consequence of Patrick Fuller's malicious, wanton or oppressive conduct is:

B. David Kenamer

1. Was the conduct of David Kenamer toward William Jennings malicious, wanton or oppressive?

(Answer this question if you answered "yes" to the question above.)

The amount of punitive damages to be awarded William Jennings as a 2. consequence of David Kenamer's malicious, wanton or oppressive conduct is:

C. Robert Nuckolls

Was the conduct of Robert Nuckolls toward William Jennings malicious, wanton 1. or oppressive?

(Answer this question if you answered "yes" to the question above.)

The amount of punitive damages to be awarded William Jennings as a 2. consequence of Robert Nuckolls's malicious, wanton or oppressive conduct is:

D. Mark Wing	D.	Ma	rk	W	ina
--------------	----	----	----	---	-----

1. Was the conduct of Mark Wing toward William Jennings malicious, wanton or oppressive?

Yes No.

(Answer this question if you answered "yes" to the question above.)

2. The amount of punitive damages to be awarded William Jennings as a consequence of Mark Wing's malicious, wanton or oppressive conduct is:

\$3,000,000,00

E. Jason White

1. Was the conduct of Jason White toward William Jennings malicious, wanton or oppressive?

_____Yes

(Answer this question if you answered "yes" to the question above.)

2. The amount of punitive damages to be awarded William Jennings as a consequence of Jason White's malicious, wanton or oppressive conduct is:

\$ 2,000,000.0D

s/Jury Foreperson
In compliance with the Privacy Policy Adopted
by the Judicial Conference, the verdict form with
the original signature has been filed under seal.

DPL

Date:

3 NOVEMBER 2016