Pouncy v. Palmer Doc. 268

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

OMAR RASHAD POUNCY,

Petitioner,

CARMEN D. PALMER,

v.

Respondent.

1

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S RENEWED MOTION FOR RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING INTERFERENCE WITH ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION (ECF No. 231)

On May 3, 2019, Petitioner Omar Pouncy filed a renewed Motion for Respondent to Show Cause Regarding Interference with Attorney–Client Communication (ECF No. 231). For the reasons explained on the record on October 16, 2019 (in the context of a discussion of a related motion that raised the same or similar issues), the Court is not yet persuaded to grant Petitioner's requested relief. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Petitioner's Motion is DENIED

WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Dated: October 17, 2019

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Matthew F. Leitman

MATTHEW F. LEITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case No. 13-cv-14695 Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

1

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on October 17, 2019, by electronic means and/or ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Monda
Case Manager

Case Manager (810) 341-9764