

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

Michael Carroll,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Oakland County Sheriff, et al,

Defendants.

---

Case Number 13-15281

District Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff

Magistrate Judge Mona K. Majzoub

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST  
FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (DOCKET NO. 50)**

This is a prisoner civil rights complaint brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court is Plaintiff's Request for Appointment of Counsel (docket no. 50). This Court believes that appointment of counsel at this stage of the litigation is unwarranted.

Appointment of counsel for prisoners proceeding *in forma pauperis* is governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, which states that “[t]he court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). The Sixth Circuit has stated:

Appointment of counsel in a civil case is not a constitutional right. It is a privilege that is justified only by exceptional circumstances. In determining whether ‘exceptional circumstances’ exist, courts have examined the type of case and the abilities of the plaintiff to represent himself. This generally involves a determination of the complexity of the factual and legal issues involved.

*Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d 601, 605-606 (6th Cir. 1993) (internal quotations and citations omitted). *See also Glover v. Johnson*, 75 F.3d 264, 268 (6th Cir. 1996) (citing Charles R. Richey, *Prisoner Litigation in the United States Courts* 75 (1995)(“Prisoners have no statutory right to counsel in civil rights cases. Instead, the appointment of counsel is within the court's discretion.”)). At this time, the Court declines to exercise its discretion to appoint counsel to represent Plaintiff.

Plaintiff has adequately alleged the claims forming the basis of this § 1983 lawsuit indicating his basic understanding of the legal process. Accordingly, Plaintiff Carroll's Motion for Appointment of Counsel is DENIED without prejudice.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a), the parties have a period of fourteen days from the date of this Order within which to file any written appeal to the District Judge as may be permissible under 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1).

Dated: September 25, 2014

s/ Mona K. Majzoub  
MONA K. MAJZOUB  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Order was served upon Michael Carroll and Counsel of Record on this date.

Dated: September 25, 2014

s/ Lisa C. Bartlett  
Case Manager