UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Case No. 14-cv-13297

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE ANSWER

Pending before the court is a Motion to Strike, in part, the Defendants' Answer, filed by Defendants on October 14, 2014. (Dkt. # 12.) However, that same day Plaintiff amended his complaint as of right. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 (a)(1)(B). This rendered the original Complaint—and by extension, the Answer—nullities.¹ *Drake v. City of Detroit*, 266 F. App'x 444, 448 (6th Cir. 2008). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike, in part, the Defendants' Answer (Dkt. # 12) is DENIED AS MOOT.

s/Robert H. Cleland
ROBERT H. CLELAND
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: October 30, 2014

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record on this date, October 30, 2014, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Lisa Wagner
Case Manager and Deputy Clerk
(313) 234-5522

¹The court notes, however, that there was nothing improper about Defendants' Answer and that another motion to strike directed at a similar Answer to the Amended Complaint would likely be futile.

S:\Cleland\JUDGE'S DESK\C2 ORDERS\14-13297.ENJAIAN.MotiontoStrike.ml.wpd