

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

WAYNE-WESTLAND COMMUNITY
SCHOOLS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case Number 14-13904
Honorable David M. Lawson

V.S., a minor, and Y.S., his mother,

Defendants.

_____ /

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
ENJOINING DEFENDANT V.S. FROM ENTERING ANY PREMISES OWNED
OR USED BY THE PLAINTIFF OR ATTENDING ANY SCHOOL EVENT**

On October 9, 2014, plaintiff Wayne-Westland Community Schools filed its verified complaint and a motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. On that same date, the Court found that the plaintiff had shown good cause for entry of a temporary restraining order, and it entered an order restraining defendant V.S. from entering any premises owned or used by the plaintiff school district or attending any school district related event. The Court ordered the plaintiff to serve on the defendants copies of the temporary restraining order along with copies of the complaint and the plaintiff's motion, and the plaintiff has filed certificates of service showing that service was completed on October 13, 2014. The Court heard oral argument on the plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction on October 15, 2014. The defendants did not file any papers in opposition to the complaint or the motion, and neither defendant appeared at the hearing. Counsel for the plaintiff represented that he has not had contact with either defendant since he spoke to defendant Y.S. before filing the complaint, and that she indicated to him then that she did not intend to appear in court or oppose the relief sought in the complaint and motion. The Court now finds that

the plaintiff has shown good cause for entry of an order continuing the temporary restraining order as a preliminary injunction. The Court therefore will grant the plaintiff's motion.

Charles D. Hallman, Director of High School Special Education for Wayne-Westland Community Schools, attested to the following facts stated in the plaintiff's verified complaint. Defendant V.S. is a student in the Tinkham Senior High School Alternative Program, which is operated by the plaintiff school district. He is six feet tall and weighs 250 pounds. V.S. enrolled as a student at the plaintiff's school in March 2014. Between March 14, 2014 and April 16, 2014, V.S. (1) physically attacked a fellow student and several staff members, including spitting at and kicking staff members who tried to restrain him to protect the student whom he attacked; (2) menaced two staff members with a pen, by holding it in a stabbing position and refusing to put it down when told; (3) punched a student while in a classroom, and then punched the principal of the school while leaving the room; and (4) threatened to rape a female staff member and punched another staff member in the face. As a result of these violent incidents, V.S.'s Individualized Education Plan (IEP) team held a meeting and decided to change his educational placement to reduce his hours of attendance to 1 hour per day. After the change in his attendance schedule, V.S. returned to school on May 15, 2014, and that same day he attacked a security liason at the school. When he was told to leave the school building and not return, V.S. instead tried to force his way back into the building, and four staff members were required to hold the school doors shut to keep V.S. out. As a result of the attack and V.S.'s refusal to leave school grounds, the entire school building was placed on lockdown. Recently, since the current school year has resumed, V.S. has (1) threatened to bring guns to school to kill staff members whom he has had incidents with in the past year; (2) made racist comments toward African-American staff members; and (3) punched

Director Hallman in the face. The plaintiff asserts that it does not have the resources or facilities properly and safely to address V.S.'s educational needs in his present educational placement.

The plaintiff asserts that its attorney contacted defendant Y.S. by telephone or in person four times between October 2, 2014 and October 7, 2014, and informed her of the plaintiff's intent to file its complaint for injunctive relief and motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction with this Court on October 9, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. On October 13, 2014, the defendants were served with copies of the Court's temporary restraining order, along with copies of the verified complaint and the plaintiff's motion. The Court has received no response to the plaintiff's complaint or motion and no other submissions from the defendants opposing the relief requested by the plaintiff. Based on the facts attested to in the verified complaint, which the defendants have not contested, the Court finds that the plaintiff has made a sufficient showing to justify the issuance of a preliminary injunction under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(a).

“[W]here a student poses an immediate threat to the safety of others,” the Court may “in appropriate cases, temporarily enjoin a dangerous disabled child from attending school.” *Honig v. Doe*, 484 U.S. 305, 325-28 (1988); *see also* 20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(2)(C)(iii) (“basing its decision on the preponderance of the evidence, [the court] shall grant such relief as [it] determines is appropriate.”). Based on the plaintiff's submissions, the Court finds that: (a) the behavior by V.S. attested to in the complaint establishes that he is a dangerous disabled child and that his continued attendance in his current educational placement poses an immediate threat to the safety of school staff and other students; (b) the plaintiff's staff and other students face an extreme risk of suffering imminent and irreparable harm if the Court does not restrain V.S. from entering any premises owned or used by the plaintiff, or attending school district related events; (c) V.S. will suffer no harm as

a result of temporarily continuing his education through the online facilities of the Michigan Virtual Academy program, with the assistance, as needed, of a staff member assigned by the plaintiff to help him and answer any questions he may have by telephone or email; (d) the plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits of its claim for injunctive relief to enjoin V.S. from attending school at its facilities pending the outcome of further IEP team meetings to determine if his educational placement should be changed; and (e) the public interest favors entry of an order temporarily restraining V.S. from attending school at the plaintiff's facilities, in order to assure the physical safety of school staff and students, and to prevent V.S.'s threatening and disruptive behavior from interfering with the school's ongoing education of all of its students.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction [dkt. #4] is **GRANTED**. The October 9, 2014 temporary restraining order is **CONTINUED as a preliminary injunction** until further order of the Court.

It is further **ORDERED** that defendant V.S. hereby is **RESTRAINED AND ENJOINED** from entering any premises owned or used by plaintiff Wayne-Westland Community Schools, or attending any school district related event.

It is further **ORDERED** that plaintiff Wayne-Westland Community Schools must continue the education of defendant V.S. by providing him access to the school district's curriculum through the Michigan Virtual Academy (MVA) online program. The plaintiff shall provide a designated staff member who will be available to assist V.S. and answer any questions he may have about the curriculum or the MVA program by telephone or email.

It is further **ORDERED** that the plaintiff forthwith shall serve upon the defendants a copy of this order and file a certificate of service.

It is further **ORDERED** that any defendant who is hereafter served with a copy of this order who objects to the provisions hereof may submit his or her objections to this Court or otherwise move for relief from this Court according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

s/David M. Lawson
DAVID M. LAWSON
United States District Judge

Dated: October 16, 2014

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on October 16, 2014.

s/Marilyn Orem
MARILYN OREM