UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JOHN GREINER,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 14-cv-13979 Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

v.

CHARTER COUNTY OF MACOMB, MICHIGAN, a/k/a MACOMB COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CORRECT THE RECORD (ECF #153)

This Court previously entered summary judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff. Plaintiff has appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and that appeal is now pending in the appellate court. On June 13, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion to correct the record in this Court. (*See* Mot., ECF #153.)

Although titled "Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Record in the District Court," Plaintiff's filing consists solely of an affidavit from him. (*See* Aff. of John Greiner, ECF #153 at Pg. ID 9852-53.) In that affidavit, Plaintiff asserts that certain unidentified statements he made in depositions and during hearings are not in the

transcripts of those proceedings, and he cites without analysis Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. (*See id.* at ¶¶ 1-5, Pg. ID 9852-53.) Nowhere in Plaintiff's filing does he make any request for relief.

Without knowing more, the Court cannot reasonably entertain the motion. In order to properly consider the motion, the Court must be informed of the following: (1) each and every specific statement – set forth verbatim as it was allegedly made – by Plaintiff that was allegedly omitted from the transcripts; (2) at what point in the transcripts the statements should appear; (3) any evidence in Plaintiff's possession that supports his assertion that the statements were omitted; and (4) the specific relief that Plaintiff seeks. In addition, Plaintiff must explain how the relief he seeks is available and properly granted under the governing rule(s). Because Plaintiff has not included this information in his motion, the Court will deny it without prejudice.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to correct the record is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. Plaintiff may re-file the motion in compliance with the requirements set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Matthew F. Leitman</u> MATTHEW F. LEITMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 18, 2018

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on June 18, 2018, by electronic means and/or ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Monda Case Manager (810) 341-9764