UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DARLENE GARNER,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 15-cv-10377

v.

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC., et al

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY (Dkt. 106)

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Select Portfolio Servicing's August 10, 2018 Emergency Motion to Stay Magistrate Judge Ordered Discovery Deadlines Pending Decision On Objections To Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part Motion For Protective Order (Dkt. 106). The motion follows Defendant's August 8, 2018 filing of objections (Dkt. 105) to the magistrate judge's July 25, 2018 order requiring certain discovery responses by August 10, 2018 (Dkt. 103).

Defendant was provided more than two weeks to comply with the magistrate judge's order requiring discovery responses. Defendant argues in its objections that requiring it to provide those responses constitutes clear error and would compromise certain proprietary interests. Yet Defendant waited until the final day allowable to file its objections, see E.D. Mich. L.R. 72.1(d)(3), and then filed an emergency motion to stay the proceedings two days later – at the end of the business day on which the magistrate judge's order required compliance.¹ If Defendant believed

¹ Under the Local Rules, Defendant's late-filed emergency motion gave the Court mere hours to rule. <u>See</u> E.D. Mich. L.R. 72.2 ("When an objection is filed to a magistrate judge's ruling on a

that this truly constituted an emergency and that the magistrate judge's order compromised its interests so severely, it should not have waited as long as it did to both file the objections and file the motion to stay. Accordingly, the Court denies the motion to stay.

SO ORDERED.

Date: August 20, 2018 Detroit, Michigan <u>s/Mark A. Goldsmith</u> MARK A. GOLDSMITH United States District Judge

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court's ECF System to their respective email or First Class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on August 20, 2018.

<u>s/William Barkholz</u> Case Manager substituting for Karri Sandusky

non-dispositive motion, the ruling remains in full force and effect unless and until it is stayed by the magistrate judge or a district judge.").