Anderson v. Jutzy et al Doc. 59

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT ANDERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case Number 15-11727 Honorable David M. Lawson Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen

KEVIN ALLEN JUTZY, M.D., RAUL TUMNADA, P.A., and BADAWI ABELLATIF, M.D.,

Defendants.	
	,

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, AND DISMISSING THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Presently before the Court is the report issued on July 25, 2017 by Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), recommending that the Court dismiss the plaintiff's complaint without prejudice against defendant Kevin Allen Jutzy, M.D. for failure to serve him with process. Defendant Jutzy is the only remaining defendant in this case. Although the magistrate judge's report explicitly stated that the parties to this action may object to and seek review of the recommendation within fourteen days of service of the report, no objections have been filed thus far. The parties' failure to file objections to the report and recommendation waives any further right to appeal. *Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers Local 231*, 829 F.2d 1370, 1373 (6th Cir. 1987). Likewise, the failure to object to the magistrate judge's report releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). However, the Court agrees with the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation [dkt. #58] is **ADOPTED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that the plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

s/David M. Lawson
DAVID M. LAWSON
United States District Judge

Dated: August 15, 2017

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on August 15, 2017.

s/Susan Pinkowski SUSAN PINKOWSKI