LeBlanc v. Schaberg Doc. 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JEFFREY LEBLANC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 15-cv-12640

Honorable Laurie J. Michelson

v.

NICHOLAS SCHABERG,

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED WIHTOUT PREPAYMENT OF THE FILING FEE AND DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Jeffrey LeBlanc, a Michigan state prisoner, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and an application to proceed without prepayment of fees or costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Plaintiff names Magistrate Judge Nicholas Schaberg, a Kalamzoobased state judge, as defendant. The Court will deny Plaintiff's application to proceed without prepayment of fees or costs and dismiss the Complaint.

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321(1996), a prisoner is prevented from proceeding in forma pauperis in a civil action under certain circumstances. The statute states, in relevant part:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section, if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

42 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The "three strikes" provision allows the Court to dismiss a case where the prisoner seeks to proceed in forma pauperis if, on three or more previous occasions, a federal

court has dismissed the prisoner's action because it was frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a

claim for which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); Thaddeus-X v. Blatter, 175 F. 3d

378, 400 (6th Cir. 1999); Witzke v. Hiller, 966 F. Supp. 538, 540 (E.D. Mich. 1997). A plaintiff

may proceed on an action subject to dismissal under the three strikes rule if he alleges that he is

in imminent danger of serious physical injury. See Clemons v. Young, 240 F. Supp. 2d 639, 641

(E.D. Mich. 2003). A federal district court may sua sponte raise the three strikes provision of the

PLRA on its own initiative. Witzke, 966 F. Supp. at 539.

Plaintiff has filed at least three prior civil rights complaints which have been dismissed as

frivolous or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. See, e.g., Leblanc v.

Erdos, No. 15-cv-12641, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 108376, at *2-4 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 18, 2015)

(listing five prior strikes, and ten lawsuits that were summarily dismissed under the "three strike"

rule). Moreover, Plaintiff's complaint asserts that Defendant held fraudulent pretrial hearings

that ultimately led to his unjust incarceration. These allegations do not imply that Plaintiff is in

imminent danger. The Complaint is therefore subject to dismissal under the three-strikes

provision.

Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed without

prepayment of the filing fee. Additionally, the Court DISMISSES the complaint pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 1915(g). This dismissal is without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a new complaint with

payment of the filing fee.

SO ORDERED.

s/Laurie J. Michelson

LAURIE J. MICHELSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: October 19, 2015

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing document was served on the attorneys and/or parties of record by electronic means or U.S. Mail on October 19, 2015.

s/Jane JohnsonCase Manager toHonorable Laurie J. Michelson