Stone v. Thompson Doc. 3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVE	N MICHA	AEL ST	ONE.

	,			
	Plaintiff,		Case No. 1:15-cv-765	
v.			Honorable Paul L. Maloney	
UNKNOWN THOMPSON,			ODDED OF TRANSFER	
	Defendant.	/	ORDER OF TRANSFER	

This is a civil rights action brought by a state prisoner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff presently is incarcerated at the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility. Plaintiff sues (Unknown) Thompson, a Corrections Officer employed at the Gus Harrison Facility. In his *pro se* complaint, Plaintiff alleges that, over the past three months, Defendant Thompson has verbally and sexually harassed him, written false misconduct reports against him and threatened to kill him.

Under the revised venue statute, venue in federal-question cases lies in the district in which any defendant resides or in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The events giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred at the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility, which is located in Lenawee County. Lenawee County is within the geographical boundaries of the Eastern District of Michigan. 28 U.S.C. § 102(a). Defendant is a public official serving in Lenawee County, and he "resides" in that county for purposes of venue over a suit challenging official acts. *See Butterworth v. Hill*, 114 U.S. 128, 132 (1885); *O'Neill v. Battisti*, 472 F.2d 789, 791 (6th Cir. 1972). In addition, Plaintiff's allegations against Defendant arose in Lenawee County, where Defendant allegedly committed the acts giving

rise to this case. See Leroy v. Great W. United Corp., 443 U.S. 173, 185-87 (1979). In these

circumstances, venue is proper only in the Eastern District. Therefore:

IT IS ORDERED that this case be transferred to the United States District Court for

the Eastern District of Michigan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). It is noted that this Court has

not decided Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, nor has the Court reviewed

Plaintiff's complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A, or under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2015

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr. HUGH W. BRENNEMAN, JR.

United States Magistrate Judge

- 2 -