Barber v. Craig et al Doc. 27

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DEXTER BARBER,

Petitioner	•
генноне	

Case No. 16-cv-11835 Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

v.

JAMES CRAIG, et al.,

Respond	lents.	

## ORDER STRIKING PETITIONER'S MOTIONS AS PREMATURE (ECF ## 7-10, 12-26) AND ENJOINING PETITIONER FROM FILING FURTHER MOTIONS WITHOUT LEAVE OF COURT

On May 23, 2016, Petitioner Dexter Barber ("Barber") filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (the "Petition"). (ECF #1.) The Petition is, by and large, illegible. The portions that are legible do not state any discernable basis for habeas relief. (*See generally id.*) On June 6, 2016, the Court entered an Order directing Barber to cure the Petition's deficiencies and "file with the Clerk of the Court a legible copy of the Petition that complies with Local Rule 5.1(a)(2) by no later than July 15, 2016." (*See* Order to Correct Deficiency, ECF #6 at 1, Pg. ID 23.) To date, Barber has not cured the deficiencies with his Petition.

Nonetheless, on June 17, 2016, Barber began filing a series of largely illegible – and largely unintelligible – motions with the Court (the "Motions").

(ECF ## 7-10, 12-26.) For example, Barber has filed a "Motion to Indict Ms.

Carolyn C. Chapman Marsh" (ECF #20) and a "Motion to Run Over Carolyn

Hogan" in which Barber has alleged that the "Courts allowed gays and gangs to

overthrow USCA" before the handwriting devolves into illegible scribbles (ECF

At this time, however, Barber's Motions are premature and it is not

appropriate for the Court to rule on the Motions before Barber has corrected the

deficiencies with his Petition. Additionally, Barber, as a litigant proceeding in

forma pauperis, has abused his right to access the Court through his voluminous

and baseless filings in this action. Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that

Barber's Motions (ECF ## 7-10, 11-26) are **STRICKEN. IT IS FURTHER** 

**ORDERED** that Barber is **ENJOINED** from filing any further motions without

first obtaining leave of court; any further motions filed by Barber without Court

approval shall be stricken.

s/Matthew F. Leitman

MATTHEW F. LEITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 22, 2016

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on June 22, 2016, by electronic means and/or

ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Monda

Case Manager

(313) 234-5113

2