Cureton v. Vance et al Doc. 37

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JAMIL CURETON,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 16-CV-12628 HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH MAG. JUDGE MONA MAJZOUB

٧.

ANTHONY VANCE, CRAIG F. WININGER, and THOMAS SONDGEROTH.

Defendants.	
	_/

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S "AMENDED JUDGMENT" (Doc. 36)

Pro se plaintiff Jamil Cureton brought this *Bivens* action against

Defendant Assistant United States Attorneys ("AUSAs") Anthony Vance
and Craig Wininger and FBI agent Thomas Sondgeroth for malicious
prosecution. On August 29, 2017, this court accepted the report and
recommendation of Magistrate Judge Mona K. Majzoub and granted
Defendants' motion for summary judgment because Defendants were
entitled to absolute and qualified immunity. Now before the court is
Plaintiff's "Amended Judgment" which he also refers to as an "amended
complaint." Attached to that document, Plaintiff submitted two videotaped
depositions. Plaintiff argues that those two depositions taken in early May,

2018, in another lawsuit, require that this court hold an evidentiary hearing in his previously closed civil case. Because final judgment has entered, Plaintiff's motion to amend is time-barred and is not properly before this court. In addition, the court has reviewed the videotaped depositions submitted and finds that they would not alter the court's prior order granting

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff's "Amended Judgment" (Doc. 36) is DENIED. The Clerk is directed to file the exhibits attached thereto in the traditional manner.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

Dated: May 24, 2018

s/George Caram Steeh
GEORGE CARAM STEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on May 24, 2018, by electronic and/or ordinary mail and also on Jamil Cureton, 801 East Woodcroft Parkway,

Apt. 1831, Durham, NC 27713.

s/Barbara Radke Deputy Clerk