## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PENTIUK, COUVREUR & KOBILJAK, P.C.,

Case No. 16-12863

Plaintiff,

**Honorable Denise Page Hood** 

 $\mathbf{v}_{\bullet}$ 

ADVANCED ROOFING, INC. d/b/a ADVANCED ROOFING - MIDWEST

Defendant.		
		/

## ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE MOTION TO CERTIFY CLASS

On August 4, 2016, Plaintiff filed the instant class action complaint against Defendant, along with a Motion to Certify the Class. Defendant has yet to be served and no scheduling order has yet been entered in this case.

Although Rule 23 of the Rules of Civil Procedure provides that a class certification shall be decided as soon as practicable after the commencement of an action, "this does not mandate precipitate action. The court should defer decision on certification pending discovery if the existing record is inadequate for resolving the relevant issues." *In re Am. Medical Sytems, et al.*, 75 F.3d 1069, 1086 (6th Cir. 1996). Other than the Complaint, there is no record before the Court. The Supreme Court requires district courts to conduct a "rigorous analysis" into whether the prerequisites

of Rule 23 are met before certifying a class. General Tel. Co. v. Falcon, 457 U.S.

147, 161 (1982). A class is not maintainable as a class action by virtue of its

designation as such in the pleadings. In re Am. Medical, 75 F.3d at 1079. A

defendant has due process rights in an action, including an opportunity to respond to

the complaint, to conduct any discovery on any of the named plaintiffs or submit a

brief to the district court regarding class certification issues. *Id.* at 1086.

In this case, as noted above, Defendant has not been served, has not filed a

response to the Complaint and has not had an opportunity to determine whether

discovery is required on the class certification issue. The Court at this time cannot

make the "rigorous analysis" required to determine whether Plaintiff meets class

certification requirements.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Certify Class (Doc. No. 2) is

DENIED without prejudice. Plaintiff may refile its motion after Defendant has been

served and has had the opportunity to respond to the Complaint and the class

allegations.

Dated: August 8, 2016

s/Denise Page Hood

HON. DENISE PAGE HOOD

CHIEF JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify	y that a copy	of the foreg	oing docun	nent was	served upo	on counsel	of record on
August 8, 2016	5, by electroi	nic and/or or	dinary mai	1.			

s/Shawna C. Burns	
Case Manager	