

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

BRENDA SANDERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 16-12959

HON. AVERN COHN

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT, MICHIGAN  
JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION, EQUAL  
EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,  
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, DR. NORMAN L. MILLER,  
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, MICHIGAN  
ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION,  
MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD,  
CITY OF DETROIT, a municipal Corporation,  
LAIDLER & ZIELINSKI, PLLC, CYRIL HALL,  
COLLINS, EINHORN, FARRELL, PC.,  
and the 36TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,

Defendants.

---

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (Doc. 178)**  
**AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR TRO (Docs. 176, 177)**

On August 15, 2016, plaintiff proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed a complaint against the above named defendants. As best as can be gleaned, plaintiff claims violations of state and federal law relating to proceedings before the Michigan Supreme Court and Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission which resulted in her removal from the bench upon a finding that she is "mentally unfit." She also claims violations of federal law during her employment as a state district court judge, including Title VII (race, religion, and gender discrimination) and the Americans with Disabilities Act. Pretrial matters have been referred to a magistrate judge. (Doc. 85).

Plaintiff then filed two “Emergency Ex-Parte Petition[s] to Issue [a] Temporary Restraining Order,” (Doc. 176-177). The magistrate judge issued a report and recommendation, MJRR, recommending that the motions be denied. (Doc. 178). To date, no objections have been filed and the time for filing objections has passed.

The failure to file objections to the report and recommendation waives any further right to appeal. Smith v. Detroit Federation of Teachers Local 231, 829 F.2d 1370, 1373 (6th Cir.1987). Likewise, the failure to object to the magistrate judge's report releases the Court from its duty to independently review the motions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). However, the Court has reviewed the MJRR and agrees with the magistrate judge. Accordingly, the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are ADOPTED as the findings and conclusions of the Court. Plaintiff’s motions are DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

S/Avern Cohn  
AVERN COHN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: December 4, 2017  
Detroit, Michigan