Hankins v. Woodard et al Doc. 31

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RUSSELL HANKINS #160532,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 16-cv-13845 Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

V.

JOHN WOODARD, and MARK TENNISWOOD,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ECF #29) AND PETITION TO AMEND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ECF #30)

In this action, Plaintiff Russell Hankins, an inmate in the Michigan Department of Corrections, asserted claims under the First, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments against Defendants John Woodard and Mark Tenniswood, two MDOC employees.

On February 27, 2017, the Defendants moved for summary judgment on Hankin's claims. (*See* ECF #19.) The assigned Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which she suggested that the Court grant the motion (the "R&R"). (*See* ECF #25.) The Magistrate Judge also recommended that the Court deny Hankins' motion for preliminary injunction. (*See id.*) Hankins filed objections to the R&R. (*See* ECF #26.) On September 25, 2017, the Court entered an order in which it (1) overruled Hankins' objections to the R&R, (2) granted the Defendants' motion for summary judgment, and (3) denied Hankins' motion for preliminary injunction (the "Order").

(See ECF #27.) On that day, the Court entered judgment in favor of the Defendants.

(See ECF #28.)

On October 18, 2017, Hankins filed a motion for reconsideration directed at the

Order and judgment in favor of the Defendants. (See ECF #29.) Hankins thereafter

filed a petition to amend his motion for reconsideration and requested that the Court

grant reconsideration of the Order. (See ECF #30.)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Hankins' motion for reconsideration and

petition to amend his motion for reconsideration (ECF ## 29, 30) are **DENIED** because

Hankins has failed to demonstrate a palpable defect by which the Court and the parties

have been misled and/or that correcting any such defect, if one existed, would result in

a different disposition. See Local Rule 7.1(h).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Matthew F. Leitman

MATTHEW F. LEITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: November 15, 2017

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on November 15, 2017, by electronic means and/or

ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Monda

Case Manager

(810) 341-9764

2