Dunham v. Malik et al Doc. 96

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RUSSELL PERCY DUNHAM,

Plaintiff,

1 1011

Case No. 13-10001

PARVEEN A. MALIK, et al.,

v.

HON. TERRENCE G. BERG HON. PAUL J. KOMIVES

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives's Report and Recommendation of December 10, 2013, (Dkt. 95) recommending that the Court: (1) deny without prejudice Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. 18); (2) deem the motion moot to the extent it seeks dismissal of Counts II and III against the Corizon defendants (see Dkt. 18 at $2 \P 2, 3$); (3) deny the motion without prejudice to the extent it seeks dismissal of portions of Count I (see Dkt. 18 at $2 \P 4$); and (4) require plaintiff to file a more definite statement in the form of a second amended complaint.

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

The law provides that either party may serve and file written objections "[w]ithin fourteen days after being served with a copy" of the report and recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The district court will make a "de novo determination of those portions of the report . . . to which objection is made." *Id.* Where, as here, neither

party objects to the report, the district court is not obligated to independently

review the record. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149–52 (1985). The Court has

reviewed and will accept the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation of

December 10, 2013, (Dkt. 95) as the findings and conclusions of this court.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Komives's Report

and Recommendation of December 10, 2013, (Dkt. 95) is ACCEPTED and

ADOPTED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. 18) is

DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, that the motion is MOOT as to Counts II and III

against the Corizon defendants, and that the moved for dismissal of portions of

Count I is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff must file a more definite statement in

the form of a second amended complaint on or before February 10, 2014.

s/Terrence G. Berg

TERRENCE G. BERG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: January 10, 2014

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this Order was electronically submitted on January 10, 2014, using the

CM/ECF system, which will send notification to each party.

By: s/A. Chubb

Case Manager

2