Case 4:14-cv-11611-LVP-MKM ECF No. 94, PageID.1492 Filed 08/30/21 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

SEAN MICHAEL RYAN,

Petitioner,	
	Case No. 14-11611
v.	
	Honorable Linda V. Parker
WILLIAM SMITH,	
Respondent.	
	/

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO **REOPEN HABEAS CORPUS PETITION (ECF NO. 93)**

Michigan prisoner Sean Michael Ryan ("Petitioner") filed a pro se habeas corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his state court convictions for seven counts of first-degree criminal sexual conduct in violation of Michigan Compiled Laws Section 750.520b(1)(a) (penetration of a person under thirteen years of age). On May 16, 2017, this Court issued an opinion and order concluding that Petitioner is not entitled to habeas relief and declining to issue Petitioner a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 80.) Petitioner's attempt to appeal the decision to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals was unsuccessful when that court also denied Petitioner a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 85.) The United States Supreme Court denied his petition for the writ of certiorari on June 11, 2018. (ECF No. 90.) Petitioner has tried, unsuccessfully, to resurrect his

Doc. 94

habeas petition (see ECF No. 92), and now asks this Court to reopen the petition to

reargue his Fourth Amendment and ineffective assistance of counsel claims

"[b]ecause [t]he ruling that this honorable court made the first time were contrary

to the rule of stare decisis and was also contrary to the clearly established law of

the United States Supreme Court" (ECF No. 93).

Neither the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals nor the United States Supreme

Court agree with Petitioner's assessment of this Court's May 16, 2017 decision.

Petitioner has exhausted the avenues available to challenge that decision and the

Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 prevents him from pursuing

an amended or second petition here. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A).

For those reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's motion to reopen his habeas petition is

DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that these habeas proceedings are closed and

Petitioner shall file no additional motions under this case number.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Linda V. Parker

LINDA V. PARKER

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: August 30, 2021

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record and/or pro se parties on this date, August 30, 2021, by electronic and/or U.S. First Class mail.

s/Aaron Flanigan
Case Manager