UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

VICTORY LANE QUICK OIL CHANGE, INC., a Michigan corporation,

Plaintiff,		
		Case No. 11-11786
v.		Han John Canhatt O'Maana
MAGID DARWICH, et al.,		Hon. John Corbett O'Meara
Defendants.	1	
	/	

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Before the court is Plaintiff's motion for attorneys' fees and for entry of final judgment, which has been fully briefed. On January 31, 2013, the court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment. Specifically, the court found in Plaintiff's favor on its breach of contract claim, but denied summary judgment on its Lanham Act claim. Rather than proceed to trial on its remaining claims, Plaintiff requests that the court award it attorneys' fees under the parties' agreement and enter a final judgment against the remaining Defendants, Magid Darwich and Darwich Brothers, LLC.

The franchise agreement between the parties provides: "The Franchisee will pay all attorneys' fees, costs and expenses incurred by Victory Lane if Victory Lane prevails in any action (a) it commences or defends to enforce any term, condition or provision of this Agreement or (b) to enjoin any violation of this Agreement by either Victory Lane or the Franchisee." Pl.'s Ex. G at 23.2. Plaintiff seeks \$44,823.25 in attorneys' fees and \$4,336.86 in expenses and has

attached supporting affidavits and billing records.¹

Defendants do not dispute that the attorney fee provision of the franchise agreement is

enforceable. Rather, Defendants suggest that Plaintiff has engaged in "overkill" in pursuing this

case. Defendants' perception notwithstanding, Plaintiff initiated this litigation to enforce the

franchise agreement and to vindicate its trademark rights. Plaintiff was successful in obtaining a

preliminary injunction, an order enforcing the preliminary injunction order and awarding

sanctions, and partial summary judgment on its contract claim. Defendants did not agree to any

of the relief sought by Plaintiff, requiring Plaintiff to litigate its claims.

Further, Defendants have not set forth specific objections to the attorneys' fees and

expenses claimed by Plaintiff. The court has already determined that the hourly rates charged by

Plaintiff's counsel are reasonable. See Docket No. 57, Order Awarding Attorney Fees; see also

State Bar of Michigan 2010 Economics of Law Practice Summary Report (median hourly rate

for Ann Arbor area is \$275). The court also finds that the total hours expended, given the work

required in this matter, is reasonable and well-supported by counsel's billing records and

affidavits. See Pl.'s Ex. H. The court finds that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees

under the franchise agreement and to an entry of judgment.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for attorneys' fees and

entry of final judgment is GRANTED.

s/John Corbett O'MearaUnited States District Judge

Date: May 8, 2013

¹ The fees sought by Plaintiff include \$3,218 previously awarded by the court as a

sanction, which Defendants have not yet paid.

-2-

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on this date, May 8, 2013, using the ECF system.

s/William Barkholz Case Manager