Femminineo v. Slater et al Doc. 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Plaintiff,		Case No. 13-11682	
v.		2430 1.01 10 11002	
		Hon. John Corbett O'Meara	
DANE SLATER, et al.,			
Defendants.	/		
	/		

CHARLENE FEMMININEO

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REHEARING

Before the court is Plaintiff's motion for rehearing, filed July 11, 2013. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss on May 7, 2013. The court scheduled oral argument for July 11, 2013. Pursuant to L.R. 7.1(e), Plaintiff's response was due within 21 days after service, or May 31, 2013. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Plaintiff did not file a timely response. Having received no response more than a month after it was due, the court ruled on the papers and cancelled the hearing. The court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss and entered judgment in favor of Defendants on July 2, 2013.

On July 11, 2013, Plaintiff requests reconsideration, stating essentially that her counsel was overwhelmed with other responsibilities that prevented the timely filing of a response. The court notes that Plaintiff did not request an extension of time to file a response. Further, Plaintiff's counsel's responsibilities in June and July do not serve to excuse the filing of a timely response, which was due in May. <u>See</u> Affidavit. Plaintiff has not presented grounds to set aside the judgment. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for rehearing is		
DENII	ED.		
Date:	August 8, 2013	s/John Corbett O'Meara United States District Judge	
on this	I hereby certify that a copy of the fordate, August 8, 2013, using the ECF	regoing document was served upon counsel of record system.	

s/William Barkholz Case Manager