Brown v. Rivard et al Doc. 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

TRENT BROWN,

Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 5:16-cv-12362 Judge Judith Levy Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti
S. RIVARD, et al.,	
Defendants.	_/

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION (DE 19)

Judge Levy has referred pretrial matters to me for resolution in this *pro se* prisoner civil rights case. (DE 6.) Pending now is Plaintiff's October 27, 2016 motion for additional time to respond to Defendants' motion for a more definite statement, which is based on the illegibility of portions of Plaintiff's Complaint. (DE 16.) Because Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and is purportedly required to hand write his pleadings in this action, the Court will leniently **GRANT** the motion without Defendants being required to file a response. Plaintiff shall respond to the motion for a more definite statement by December 8, 2016.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

¹Plaintiff asks for a twenty-four day extension on page one of his motion and a fourteen day extension on page eight of the motion. The Court has generously given Plaintiff a twenty-four day extension. However, Plaintiff is cautioned that the Court will not grant additional extensions of time to respond to the motion for a more definite statement.

Dated: November 1, 2016	s/Anthony P. Patti
	Anthony P. Patti
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was sent to parties of record on November 1, 2016, electronically and/or by U.S. Mail.

s/Michael Williams

Case Manager for the Honorable Anthony P. Patti