UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

GREAT LAKES EXPLORATION GROUP LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

File No. 1:04-CV-375

HON. ROBERT HOLMES BELL

THE UNIDENTIFIED, WRECKED, AND (FOR SALVAGE-RIGHT PURPOSES), ABANDONED SAILING VESSEL, her tackle, apparel, appurtenances, cargo, etc., located within a circle having a radius of 3.5 statute miles, whose center point is at coordinates 45° 32.8' North latitude and 86° 41.5' West longitude, *In Rem*,

Defendant,

v.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY, ARTS, AND LIBRARIES,

Interv	enors.
--------	--------

ORDER REGARDING ARREST WARRANT AND DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

In accordance with the Sixth Circuit opinion issued on April 22, 2008, and mandate issued on May 14, 2008,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that pursuant to Rule C(3)(a)(i) of the Supplemental

Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims the Court SHALL issue a CONDITIONAL WARRANT FOR THE ARREST of Defendant Unidentified, Wrecked, and (For Salvage-Right Purposes), Abandoned Sailing Vessel, as further identified in paragraphs two, six, and seven of Plaintiff Great Lakes Exploration Group LLC's second amended complaint (Dkt. No. 60, Ex. 3). The Clerk of the Court SHALL forward a copy of the arrest warrant to the Marshal. In consideration of the location and condition of Defendant, at the discretion of the Marshal, Plaintiff SHALL assist the Marshal in the execution of the arrest warrant. The arrest SHALL be valid if this warrant is executed within thirty days of issuance. If the arrest warrant is not executed within thirty days of issuance, Plaintiff SHALL file a notice with the Court explaining why the arrest warrant has not been executed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon the Marshal's execution of the arrest warrant the Court SHALL have jurisdiction over Defendant and no person SHALL seize, remove, take physical possession of, or otherwise disturb Defendant without prior authorization from the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court's July 13, 2005, order concerning documents filed under seal (Dkt. No. 44) SHALL remain in effect. Plaintiff MAY provide additional information about the location of Defendant to the Marshal. Any such disclosure SHALL be made in conformance with the Court's July 13, 2005, order, and SHALL be treated as "filed under seal" in conformance with the Court's July 13, 2005, order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within thirty days of the Marshal filing notice of

the execution of the arrest warrant Plaintiff SHALL disclose to Intervenors Michigan

Department of Environmental Quality and Michigan Department of History, Arts, and

Libraries the precise location of Defendant. Within thirty days of receiving Defendant's

precise location from Plaintiff, Intervenors SHALL certify their receipt of such information

to the Court. Plaintiff and Intervenors are not obligated to file Defendant's precise location

with the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within ten days after execution of the arrest

warrant Plaintiff SHALL cause public notice of the action and arrest to be given for a period

of not less than five consecutive days in the Grand Rapids Press, Grand Rapids, Michigan,

and the Marquette Mining Journal, Marquette, Michigan. The public notice SHALL set

forth the court and docket number of this action, a general description of the nature of this

action, the fact of the arrest, and the requirement that any persons claiming any interest in

the in rem Defendant must file with the Clerk of the Court a verified claim within ten days

after the last day on which the public notice appears, and must file an answer within twenty

days after the filing of the claim.

Date: June 2, 2008

/s/ Robert Holmes Bell

ROBERT HOLMES BELL

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3