UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT LEE BOLES, JR.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:07-CV-277

V.

Hon. Richard Alan Enslen

GARY LEWIS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND PARTIAL JUDGMENT

For the reasons set forth in the Opinion issued this date,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections (Dkt. No. 121) are GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART; Defendants Lewis, Case, Christiansen, Tefft, Richardson, Haynie, Lowery and Jackson's Objections (Dkt. No. 119) are GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART; and Defendant Migliorino's Objections (Dkt. No. 114) are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge issued August 22, 2008 (Dkt. No. 112) is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge issued August 28, 2008 (Dkt. No. 113) is **ADOPTED IN PART AND REJECTED IN PART** as set forth in the Opinion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Migliorino's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 93) is **DENIED**; Defendants Lewis, Case, Christiansen, Tefft, Richardson, Haynie, Lowery and

Jackson's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 58) is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED**

IN PART; and Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 55) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants Lowery, Jackson, Haynie and Tefft are

entitled to summary judgment on all claims against them, and they are hereby dismissed as party

Defendants; Defendant Christiansen is entitled to summary judgment on the retaliation claim made

against him; Defendant Case is entitled to summary judgment on that portion of Plaintiff's retaliation

claim alleging that Case retaliated against Plaintiff by issuing a major misconduct ticket on January

11, 2005; Defendant Richardson is entitled to summary judgment on that portion of Plaintiff's

retaliation claim alleging that Richardson retaliated against Plaintiff by issuing a major misconduct

ticket on January 20, 2006. Defendants Case, Christiansen and Richardson are not entitled to

summary judgment on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims against them. Defendants Case and

Richardson are not entitled to summary judgment on the remaining portions of Plaintiff's retaliation

claims against them. Defendant Migliorino is not entitled to dismissal of any claim against him.

/s/ Richard Alan Enslen

DATED in Kalamazoo, MI:

September 30, 2008

RICHARD ALAN ENSLEN SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SERVICE CIVILED STRIES DISTRICT VODOS

-2-