Moyer v. Griffin et al Doc. 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 10-13202
RICHARD J. SUTTON, d/b/a The Tool Guy,	
Debtor/	
JEFF. A. MOYER,	
Plaintiff,	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 11-80270
v.	DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:12-CV-598
ROGER L. GRIFFIN,	HON. ROBERT J. JONKER
Defendant/	

ORDER

This case comes before the Court on Report and Recommendation from the Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Michigan (docket # 1). Plaintiff, Jeff. A. Moyer, the Chapter 7 Trustee in this matter, filed a complaint to recover an account receivable due Debtor and seeking a money judgment in the amount of \$7,009.05. (*Id.* at 2 (citing 11 U.S.C. § 542(b).) Defendant failed to answer the complaint, and Plaintiff subsequently filed a motion for entry of a default judgment against Defendant. (*Id.*) The Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on November 3, 2011, and again on January 5, 2012, to address Plaintiff's motion, but Defendant did not appear. (*Id.*) The Bankruptcy Court's Report and Recommendation followed. (*Id.*)

In its Report and Recommendation, the Bankruptcy Court concluded that default judgment against Defendant was appropriate. Relying on the Supreme Court's recent decision in *Northern*

Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 U.S. 50 (1982), however, the Bankruptcy

Court questioned whether it had the constitutional authority to enter a final judgment in this matter

and therefore submitted its Report and Recommendation to this Court for the entry of judgment.

After reviewing the Bankruptcy Court's Report and Recommendation and the record below,

the Court grants Plaintiff's motion for default and enters a money judgment in favor of Plaintiff

against Defendant in the amount of \$7,009.05 as recommended by the Bankruptcy Court. While the

Court acknowledges the uncertainty Stern v. Marshall, U.S. , 131 S. Ct. 2594 (2011), created

regarding the constitutional authority of bankruptcy courts to enter final judgment in certain

proceedings, the Court does not believe either Stern or Northern Pipeline affects the Bankruptcy

Court's authority to enter a default judgment in this action. However, it is undisputed the Court has

jurisdiction to enter judgment in this matter, and the Bankruptcy Court's reference of the matter to

the Court does not constitute reversible error. Cf. In re Burkman Supply, Inc., 217 B.R. 223, 223

(W.D. Mich. 1998) ("[T]he fact that the bankruptcy judge in this matter took the additional step and

submitted this matter to the Court by way of a report and recommendation does not constitute

reversible error."). Therefore, in order to resolve this matter in an expeditious, efficient, and cost-

effective manner, the Court adopts the Bankruptcy Court's recommendation to enter judgment in

favor of Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court shall enter Judgment.

Dated: June 15, 2012 /s/ Robert J. Jonker

ROBERT J. JONKER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE