

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

JOHN MORAN,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:12-CV-614

v.

HON. GORDON J. QUIST

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On May 23, 2013, Magistrate Judge Ellen S. Carmody issued two Report and Recommendations (R & Rs) (docket nos. 26–27) recommending that this Court grant Defendant Adamson’s motion for summary judgment (docket no. 17), deny Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment (docket no. 11), and dismiss the case. Plaintiff has filed a timely Objection. When a party properly objects to any part of a magistrate judge’s proposed disposition, this Court must review the disposition *de novo*. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). After conducting a *de novo* review of both R & Rs, Plaintiff’s Objection, and the pertinent portions of the record, the Court concludes that the R & Rs should be adopted and the case dismissed.

Plaintiff makes two general objections to the R & R: (1) that he exhausted his available administrative remedies, and (2) Defendants deprived him of his right to religious practice in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. Although Plaintiff restates the facts and law on which he previously relied in his motion for summary judgment and response to Defendant Adamson’s motion for summary judgment, he does not advance a specific objection to the magistrate judge’s analysis or proposed disposition.

