

**FILED - KZ**  
December 21, 2012 5:03 PM

TRACEY CORDES, CLERK  
U S DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
bd / Scanned by *bd/12-26-12*

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**1:12-cv-01393**

**WILLIAM LACY**

**v**

**BRAEBURN CAPITAL,  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
APPLE**

**TITLE: Breach of Federal Court Process**

**The United States Government Cannot Litigate Pre Trial Against a Plaintiff, once a Cause of Action in a Complaint is Established, when Federal Policy is Advised or Business and Economic Discussions from the Defendant as a Adviser to the U.S. Government, and for Federal Policy to Protect a Defendant, or Lobby for Perks, Tax Breaks or any Advantage from the U.S. Government.**

**Therefore; Once a Cause is Established in Federal Court, The U.S. Government has to Remove Itself as Litigator against the Plaintiff for Pre Trial Litigation which can Obstruct the right for Process, and is a Conflict of Interest between All Parties.**

**The U.S. Court can only Continue With Process without Discrimination of Court Process from a Plaintiff, and without "Double Litigation". From Both the U.S. Court and the Defendant.**

**Therefore, Pre Trial Litigation Beyond the Cause of a Action, Against the Plaintiff can be Deemed Invalid Since the Defendant is Advising Federal Policy which is Pertinent to a Case.**

**Therefore the Plaintiff Respectfully Advises the Federal Court to Expedite a Court Date for Case 1:12-cv-00941**

**The U.S. Government Cannot Litigate Against the Plaintiff Pre Trial, when the Defendant is Advising Federal Policy which is Pertinent to a Federal Case.**

**It is a Strong Conflict of Interest between the U.S. Government and the Plaintiff's Right to Federal Process when The U.S. Government is Party to Litigation Past a Cause of Action Against the Plaintiff in a Pre Trial Situation.**

**Braeburn Capital and Apple CEO Tim Cook as Adviser to President Obama and House Representative Boehner on Taxes and Perks for Braeburn Capital and Apple, in a Dual-Role with the U.S. Government.**




**The Federal Government has to Remove Itself as Litigator against the Plaintiff, and Practice in a Neutral Manner as only Judge in a Case, without any Interest to Litigate in one Direction or the Other.**

**The "Double Litigation" Against the Plaintiff in Case 1:12-cv-00941, is a Breach of Federal Process and a Strong Conflict Between the U.S. Government and the Plaintiff, for a Fair Process in Federal Court.**

**Therefore, The U.S. Government is a Party to the Defendant's Litigation if Litigating Against a Plaintiff beyond a Cause of Action at Pre Trial.**

**The Correct Action for the U.S. Government is to Expedite a Court Date Without Delay, for Case 1:12-cv-00941, and Remove Itself as "Litigator" at Pre Trial.**

**Braeburn Capital and Apple CEO Advising the U.S. Government for Federal Tax Savings and Perks for the Companies.**



**The Federal Government is in Breach of Process, with a Strong Conflict of Interest.**

**The Conflict of Interest of the U.S. Government Making Deals with the Defendants which are connected to this Federal Case, is a Complete Breach of Process when the Plaintiff is being Litigated Against Beforehand by the U.S. Government.**

**The Plaintiff Respectfully Advises the U.S. Court to Immediately Expedite a Court Date to remove Liability to Braeburn Capital, The United States of America, and Apple.**

**The Same Judges as Case 1:12-cv-00941 cannot Preside over this Federal Case, Since it would be a Conflict of Interest between Court Decisions.**

**William Lacy  
1924 E Main Kalamazoo MI 49048  
269-382-6894**

*William Lacy*

12-21-2012